



Two-Way Flat Plate Concrete Floor System Analysis and Design







Two-Way Flat Plate Concrete Floor System Analysis and Design

The concrete floor slab system shown below is for an intermediate floor to be designed considering partition weight = 20 psf, and unfactored live load = 40 psf. Flat plate concrete floor system does not use beams between columns or drop panels and it is usually suited for lightly loaded floors with short spans typically for residential and hotel buildings. The lateral loads are independently resisted by shear walls. The two design procedures shown in <u>ACI 318-14</u>: Direct Design Method (DDM) and the Equivalent Frame Method (EFM) are illustrated in detail in this example. The hand solution from EFM is also used for a detailed comparison with the analysis and design results of the engineering software program <u>spSlab</u>.





Contents

1.	Preliminary Member Sizing	1
2.	Two-Way Slab Analysis and Design	3
	2.1. Direct Design Method (DDM)	4
	2.1.1. Direct design method limitations	4
	2.1.2. Design moments	4
	2.1.3. Flexural reinforcement requirements	5
	2.1.4. Factored moments in columns	7
	2.2. Equivalent Frame Method (EFM)	8
	2.2.1. Equivalent frame method limitations	9
	2.2.2. Frame members of equivalent frame	9
	2.2.3. Equivalent frame analysis	11
	2.2.4. Design moments	12
	2.2.5. Distribution of design moments	13
	2.2.6. Flexural reinforcement requirements	14
	2.2.7. Column design moments	16
3.	Design of Interior, Edge, and Corner Columns	18
	3.1. Determination of factored loads	19
	3.2. Column Capacity Diagram (Axial-Moment Interaction Diagram)	20
4.	Two-Way Slab Shear Strength	24
	4.1. One-Way (Beam action) Shear Strength	24
	4.2. Two-Way (Punching) Shear Strength	25
5.	Two-Way Slab Deflection Control (Serviceability Requirements)	28
	5.1. Immediate (Instantaneous) Deflections	28
	5.2. Time-Dependent (Long-Term) Deflections (Δ_{lt})	37
6.	Computer Program Solution	38
7.	Summary and Comparison of Two-Way Slab Design Results	58
8.	Comparison of Two-Way Slab Analysis and Design Methods	60

Structure Point

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS



Code

Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-14) and Commentary (ACI 318R-14)

Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE/SEI 7-10)

International Code Council, 2012 International Building Code, Washington, D.C., 2012

Reference

Notes on ACI 318-11 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, Twelfth Edition, 2013 Portland Cement Association, Example 20.1

Concrete Floor Systems (Guide to Estimating and Economizing), Second Edition, 2002 David A. Fanella

Simplified Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings, Fourth Edition, 2011 Mahmoud E. Kamara and Lawrence C. Novak

Design Data

Floor-to-Floor Height = 9 ft (provided by architectural drawings)

Superimposed Dead Load, SDL =20 psf for framed partitions, wood studs plaster 2 sides

ASCE/SEI 7-10 (Table C3-1)

ASCE/SEI 7-10 (Table 4-1)

ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1)

Live Load, LL = 40 psf for Residential floors

 f_c ' = 4000 psi (for slabs)

 f_c ' = 6000 psi (for columns)

```
f_y = 60,000 \text{ psi}
```

Required fire resistance rating = 2 hours

Solution

1. Preliminary Member Sizing

a. <u>Slab minimum thickness - Deflection</u>

In this example deflection will be calculated and checked to satisfy project deflection limits. Minimum member thickness and depths from ACI 318-14 will be used for preliminary sizing.

Using ACI 318-14 minimum slab thickness for two-way construction without interior beams in Table 8.3.1.1.

Exterior Panels: $h = \frac{l_n}{30} = \frac{200}{30} = 6.67$ in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (Table 8.3.1.1)</u>
But not less than 5 in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1(a))</u>
Interior Panels: $h = \frac{l_n}{33} = \frac{200}{33} = 6.06$ in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (Table 8.3.1.1)</u>
But not less than 5 in.	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.3.1.1(a))</u>



Where l_n = length of clear span in the long direction = 216 - 16 = 200 in. Try 7 in. slab for all panels (self-weight = 87.5 psf)

b. <u>Slab shear strength – one way shear</u>

Evaluate the average effective depth (Figure 2):

$$d_{l} = t_{slab} - c_{clear} - d_{b} - \frac{d_{b}}{2} = 7 - 0.75 - 0.5 - \frac{0.5}{2} = 5.50 \text{ in.}$$
$$d_{t} = t_{slab} - c_{clear} - \frac{d_{b}}{2} = 7 - 0.75 - \frac{0.5}{2} = 6.00 \text{ in.}$$
$$d_{avg} = \frac{d_{l} + d_{t}}{2} = \frac{5.50 + 6.00}{2} = 5.75 \text{ in.}$$

Where:

 $c_{clear} = 3/4$ in. for # 4 steel bar

ACI 318-14 (Table 20.6.1.3.1)

 $d_b = 0.5$ in. for # 4 steel bar



Figure 2 - Two-Way Flat Concrete Floor System

Factored dead load,	$q_{Du} = 1.2 \times (87.5 + 20) = 129 \mathrm{psf}$	
Factored live load,	$q_{Lu} = 1.6 \times 40 = 64 \text{ psf}$	<u>ACI 318-14 (5.3.1)</u>
Total factored load	$q_u = 193 \mathrm{psf}$	

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for beam action (one-way shear)

ACI 318-14 (22.5)

at an interior column:

Consider a 12-in. wide strip. The critical section for one-way shear is located at a distance d, from the face of support (see Figure 3)

Tributary area for one-way shear is $A_{Tributary} = [(\frac{18}{2}) - (\frac{16}{2 \times 12}) - (\frac{5.75}{12})] \times (\frac{12}{12}) = 7.854 \text{ ft}^2$ $V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.193 \times 7.854 = 1.5 \text{ kips}$ $V_c = 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d$ ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.5.1)

where $\lambda = 1$ for normal weight concrete





$$\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{4000} \times 12 \times \frac{5.75}{1000} = 6.6 \text{ kips} > V_u$$

Slab thickness of 7 in. is adequate for one-way shear.

c. <u>Slab shear strength – two-way shear</u>

Check the adequacy of slab thickness for punching shear (two-way shear) at an interior column (Figure 4):

Tributary area for two-way shear is $A_{Tributary} = (18 \times 14) - (\frac{16 + 5.75}{12})^2 = 248.7 \text{ ft}^2$ $V_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.193 \times 248.7 = 48.0 \text{ kips}$ $V_c = 4\lambda \sqrt{f_c}$ b_od (For square interior column) <u>ACI 318-14 (Table 22.6.5.2(a))</u> $V_c = 4 \times \sqrt{4000} \times (4 \times 21.75) \times \frac{5.75}{1000} = 126.6 \text{ kips}$ $\varphi V_c = 0.75 \times 126.6 = 95.0 \text{kips} > V_u$

Slab thickness of 7 in. is adequate for two-way shear.



Figure 3 - Critical Section for One-Way



Figure 4 - Critical Section for Two-Way

d. Column dimensions - axial load

Check the adequacy of column dimensions for axial load: Tributary area for interior column is $A_{Tributary} = (18 \times 14) = 252 \text{ ft}^2$ $P_u = q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 0.193 \times 252 = 48.6 \text{ kips}$ $P_n = 0.80P_o = 0.80 \times (0.85f_c '(A_g - A_{st}) + f_y A_{st})$ (For square interior column) <u>ACI 318-14 (22.4.2)</u> $P_n = 0.80 \times (0.85(6000)(16 \times 16 - 0) + 0) = 1,044,480 \text{ lb} = 1,044 \text{ kips}$ $\varphi P_n = 0.65 \times 1,044 = 679 \text{ kips} > P_u = 48.6 \text{ kips}$

Column dimensions of 16 in. x 16 in. are adequate for axial load.

2. Two-Way Slab Analysis and Design

ACI 318 states that a slab system shall be designed by any procedure satisfying equilibrium and geometric compatibility, provided that strength and serviceability criteria are satisfied. Distinction of two-systems from one-way systems is given by <u>ACI 318-14 (R8.10.2.3 & R8.3.1.2)</u>.



ACI 318 permits the use of Direct Design Method (DDM) and Equivalent Frame Method (EFM) for the gravity load analysis of orthogonal frames and is applicable to flat plates, flat slabs, and slabs with beams. The following sections outline the solution per DDM, EFM, and spSlab software respectively.

2.1. Direct Design Method (DDM)

Two-way slabs satisfying the limits in <u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2)</u> are permitted to be designed in accordance with the DDM.

2.1.1. Direct design method limitations

There is a minimum of three continuous spans in each direction	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.1)</u>
Successive span lengths are equal	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.2)</u>
Long-to-short span ratio is 1.29 < 2	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.3)</u>
Columns are not offset	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.4)</u>
Loads are uniformly distributed over the entire panel	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.5)</u>
Service live-to-dead load ratio of $0.37 < 2.0$	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.6)</u>
Slab system is without beams and this requirement is not applicable	<u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.7)</u>

Since all the criteria are met, Direct Design Method can be utilized.

2.1.2. Design moments

a. Calculate the total factored static moment:

$$M_o = \frac{q_u \ell_2 \ell_n^2}{8} = \frac{0.193 \times 14 \times 16.67^2}{8} = 93.6 \text{ ft-kips}$$
ACI 318-14 (8.10.3.2)

b. Distribute the total factored moment, M_o , in an interior and end span:

ACI 318-14 (8.10.4)

Table 1 - Distribution of M_o along the span								
Lo	ocation	Total Design Strip Moment, M _{DS} (ft-kips)						
	Exterior Negative	$0.26 \ x \ M_o = 24.3$						
Exterior Span	Positive	$0.52 \ x \ M_o = 48.7$						
	Interior Negative	$0.70 \ x \ M_o = 65.5$						
Interior Span	Positive	$0.35 \ x \ M_o = 32.8$						

c. Calculate the column strip moments.

That portion of negative and positive total design strip moments not resisted by column strips shall be proportionally assigned to corresponding two half-middle strips.

ACI 318-14 (8.10.6.1)

ACI 318-14 (8.10.5)



Table 2 - Lateral Distribution of the Total Design Strip Moment, MDS										
Locati	on	Total Design Strip Moment, M _{DS} (ft-kips)	Column Strip Moment, (ft-kips)	Moment in Two Half Middle Strips, (ft-kips)						
	Exterior Negative [*]	24.3	$1.00 \ x \ M_{DS} = 24.3$	$0.00 \ x \ M_{DS} = 0.0$						
Exterior Span	Positive	48.7	$0.60 \ x \ M_{DS} = 29.2$	$0.40 \ x \ M_{DS} = 19.5$						
	Interior Negative [*]	65.5	$0.75 \ x \ M_{DS} = 49.1$	$0.25 \ x \ M_{DS} = 16.4$						
Interior Span	Positive	32.8	$0.60 \ x \ M_{DS} = 19.7$	$0.40 \ x \ M_{DS} = 13.1$						
* All negative r	* All negative moments are at face of support.									

2.1.3. Flexural reinforcement requirements

a. Determine flexural reinforcement required for column and middle strips at all critical sections The following calculation is for the exterior span exterior negative location of the column strip.

 $M_{\mu} = 24.3$ ft-kips

Use average $d_{avg} = 5.75$ in.

To determine the area of steel, assumptions have to be made whether the section is tension or compression controlled, and regarding the distance between the resultant compression and tension forces along the slab section (*jd*). In this example, tension-controlled section will be assumed so the reduction factor φ is equal to 0.9, and *jd* will be taken equal to 0.95*d*. The assumptions will be verified once the area of steel in finalized.

Assume $jd = 0.95 \times d = 5.46$ in.

Column strip width, $b = (14 \times 12)/2 = 84$ in.

Middle strip width, $b = 14 \times 12 - 84 = 84$ in.

$$A_{s} = \frac{M_{u}}{\varphi f_{y} j d} = \frac{24.3 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times 0.95 \times 5.75} = 0.99 \text{ in}^{2}$$

Recalculate 'a' for the actual $A_s = 0.99$ in.²:

$$a = \frac{A_s f_y}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{0.99 \times 60000}{0.85 \times 4000 \times 84} = 0.208 \text{ in}$$

$$c = \frac{a}{\beta_1} = \frac{0.208}{0.85} = 0.244 \text{ in}$$

$$\varepsilon_t = (\frac{0.003}{c})d_t - 0.003 = (\frac{0.003}{0.244}) \times 5.75 - 0.003 = 0.0676 > 0.005$$

spslab

Therefore, the assumption that section is tension-controlled is valid.

$$A_{s} = \frac{M_{u}}{\varphi f_{y}(d-a/2)} = \frac{24.3 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times (5.75 - 0.208/2)} = 0.96 \text{ in}^{2}$$

Min $A_{s} = 0.018 \times 84 \times 7 = 1.06 \text{ in}^{2} > 0.96 \text{ in}^{2}$
Maximum spacing $s_{max} = 2h = 2 \times 7 = 14 \text{ in} < 18 \text{ in}$
ACI 318-14 (8.7.2.2)

Provide 6 - #4 bars with $A_s = 1.20 \text{ in}^2$ and $s = 84/6 = 14 \text{ in} \le s_{\text{max}}$

Table 3 - Required Slab Reinforcement for Flexure (DDM)											
SI	oan Location	Mu (ft-kips)	b (in.)	d (in.)	A _s Req'd for flexure (in ²)	Min As (in ²)	Reinforcement Provided	A _s Prov. for flexure (in ²)			
	End Span										
	Exterior Negative	24.3	84	5.75	0.96	1.06	6-#4	1.2			
Column Strip	Positive	29	84	5.75	1.15	1.06	6-#4	1.2			
Sulp	Interior Negative	49.6	84	5.75	1.99	1.06	10-#4	2			
	Exterior Negative	0	84	5.75	0	1.06	6-#4	1.2			
Middle Strip	Positive	19.7	84	5.75	0.77	1.06	6-#4	1.2			
Sulp	Interior Negative	15.9	84	5.75	0.62	1.06	6-#4	1.2			
Interior Span											
Column Strip	Positive	19.7	84	5.75	0.77	1.06	6-#4	1.2			
Middle Strip	Positive	13.1	84	5.75	0.51	1.06	6-#4	1.2			

Based on the procedure outlined above, values for all span locations are given in Table 3.

b. Calculate additional slab reinforcement at columns for moment transfer between slab and column The factored slab moment resisted by the column ($\gamma_f \times M_u$) shall be assumed to be transferred by flexure. Concentration of reinforcement over the column by closer spacing or additional reinforcement shall be used to resist this moment. The fraction of slab moment not calculated to be resisted by flexure shall be assumed to be resisted by eccentricity of shear. <u>ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3)</u>

Portion of the unbalanced moment transferred by flexure is $\gamma_f \times M_u$

<u>ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.1)</u>

Where

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3) \times \sqrt{b_1/b_2}}$$
ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.2)

 b_1 = Dimension of the critical section b_o measured in the direction of the span for which moments are determined in ACI 318, Chapter 8 (see Figure 5).



 b_2 = Dimension of the critical section b_o measured in the direction perpendicular to b_1 in ACI 318, Chapter 8 (see Figure 5).

$b_b = Effective slab width = c_2 + 3 \times h$

ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.3)



Critical shear perimeter for interior column

Critical shear perimeter for exterior column





Table 4 - Additional Slab Reinforcement required for moment transfer between slab and column (DDM)												
Span Location		$ \begin{array}{c c} M_u^* \\ (ft\text{-kips}) \end{array} \gamma_f & \begin{array}{c} \gamma_f M_u \\ (ft\text{-kips}) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Effective slab} \\ \text{width, } b_b \\ (in.) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} d \\ (in.) \\ (in^2) \end{array} \\ \end{array} $		A _s req'd within b _b (in ²)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s} \ \mathbf{prov.} \ \mathbf{For} \\ \mathbf{flexure} \ \mathbf{within} \ \mathbf{b}_{b} \\ (\mathbf{in}^{2}) \end{array}$	Add'l Reinf.						
	End Span											
Column Strin	Exterior Negative	24.3	0.62	15.1	37	5.75	0.6	0.53	1-#4			
Column Strip	Interior Negative	0.0	0.60	0.0	37	5.75	0.0	0.97	-			
*M _u is taken at	*M ₁ is taken at the centerline of the support in Equivalent Frame Method solution.											

2.1.4. Factored moments in columns

a. Interior columns:

$$M_{u} = 0.07 \times \left[(q_{Du} + 0.5 \times q_{Lu}) \times \ell_{2} \times \ell_{n}^{2} - q_{D_{u}} + 2 \times \ell_{2}^{2} \times \left(\ell_{n} + 2 \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$M_{u} = 0.07 \times \left[(129 + 0.5 \times 64) \times 14 \times \left(18 - \frac{16}{12} \right)^{2} - 129 \times 18 \times \left(14 - \frac{16}{12} \right)^{2} \right] = 17.75 \text{ ft-kips}$$



With the same column size and length above and below the slab,

$$M_{column} = \frac{17.75}{2} = 8.87$$
 ft-kips

b. Exterior Columns:

Total exterior negative moment from slab must be transferred directly to the column: $M_u = 24.3$ ftkips. With the same column size and length above and below the slab,

$$M_{column} = \frac{24.3}{2} = 12.15 \,\text{ft-kips}$$

The moments determined above are combined with the factored axial loads (for each story) for design of column sections as shown later in this example.

2.2. Equivalent Frame Method (EFM)

EFM is the most comprehensive and detailed procedure provided by the ACI 318 for the analysis and design of two-way slab systems where the structure is modeled by a series of equivalent frames (interior and exterior) on column lines taken longitudinally and transversely through the building.

The equivalent frame consists of three parts:

- 1) Horizontal slab-beam strip, including any beams spanning in the direction of the frame. Different values of moment of inertia along the axis of slab-beams should be taken into account where the gross moment of inertia at any cross section outside of joints or column capitals shall be taken, and the moment of inertia of the slab-beam at the face of the column, bracket or capital divide by the quantity $(1-c_2/l_2)^2$ shall be assumed for the calculation of the moment of inertia of slab-beams from the center of the column to the face of the column, bracket or capital.
- 2) Columns or other vertical supporting members, extending above and below the slab. Different values of moment of inertia along the axis of columns should be taken into account where the moment of inertia of columns from top and bottom of the slab-beam at a joint shall be assumed to be infinite, and the gross cross section of the concrete is permitted to be used to determine the moment of inertia of columns at any cross section outside of joints or column capitals.
 ACI 318-14 (8.11.4)
- 3) Elements of the structure (Torsional members) that provide moment transfer between the horizontal and vertical members. These elements shall be assumed to have a constant cross section throughout their length consisting of the greatest of the following: (1) portion of slab having a width equal to that of the column, bracket, or capital in the direction of the span for which moments are being determined, (2) portion of slab specified in (1) plus that part of the transverse beam above and below the slab for monolithic or fully composite construction, (3) the transverse beam includes that portion of slab on each side of the beam extending a distance equal to the projection of the beam above or below the slab, whichever is greater, but not greater than four times the slab thickness.



2.2.1. Equivalent frame method limitations

In EFM, live load shall be arranged in accordance with 6.4.3 which requires slab systems to be analyzed and designed for the most demanding set of forces established by investigating the effects of live load placed in various critical patterns. <u>ACI 318-14 (8.11.1.2 & 6.4.3)</u> Complete analysis must include representative interior and exterior equivalent frames in both the longitudinal and transverse directions of the floor <u>ACI 318-14 (8.11.2.1)</u> Panels shall be rectangular, with a ratio of longer to shorter panel dimensions, measured center-to-center of supports, not to exceed 2. <u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2.3)</u>

2.2.2. Frame members of equivalent frame

Determine moment distribution factors and fixed-end moments for the equivalent frame members. The moment distribution procedure will be used to analyze the equivalent frame. Stiffness factors k, carry over factors COF, and fixed-end moment factors FEM for the slab-beams and column members are determined using the design aids tables at <u>Appendix 20A of PCA Notes on ACI 318-11</u>. These calculations are shown below.

a. Flexural stiffness of slab-beams at both ends, K_{sb} .

$$\frac{c_{N1}}{\ell_1} = \frac{16}{(18 \times 12)} = 0.07 , \quad \frac{c_{N2}}{\ell_2} = \frac{16}{(14 \times 12)} = 0.1$$

For
$$c_{F1} = c_{F2}$$
, stiffness factors, $k_{NF} = k_{FN} = 4.13$

Thus, $K_{sb} = k_{NF} \frac{E_{cs}I_s}{\ell_1} = 4.13 \frac{E_{cs}I_s}{\ell_1}$

$$K_{sb} = 4.13 \times 3834 \times 10^3 \times \frac{4802}{216} = 352 \times 10^6$$
 in.-lb

where, $I_s = \frac{\ell_s h^3}{12} = \frac{168 \times (7)^3}{12} = 4802 \text{ in}^4$ $E_{cs} = w_c^{1.5} 33\sqrt{f_c'} = 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{4000} = 3834 \times 10^3 \text{ psi}$

Carry-over factor COF = 0.509

Fixed-end moment FEM = $0.0843 w_{\mu} \ell_2 \ell_1^2$

b. Flexural stiffness of column members at both ends, K_c .

Referring to <u>*Table A7, Appendix 20A*</u>, $t_a = 3.5$ in., $t_b = 3.5$ in.,

H = 9 ft = 108 in., H_c = 101 in.,
$$\frac{t_a}{t_b} = 1$$
, $\frac{H}{H_c} = 1.07$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)

ACI 318-14 (19.2.2.1.a)

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)



Thus, $k_{AB} = k_{BA} = 4.74$ by interpolation.

$$K_{c} = \frac{4.74E_{cc}I_{c}}{\ell_{c}}$$

$$E_{c} = 4.74 \times 4696 \times 10^{3} \times \frac{5461}{108} = 1125.5 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$K_{c} = 4.74 \times 4696 \times 10^{3} \times \frac{5461}{108} = 1125.5 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb}$$

$$Where I_{c} = \frac{c^{4}}{12} = \frac{(16)^{4}}{12} = 5461 \text{ in.}^{4}$$

$$E_{cc} = w_{c}^{1.5} 33\sqrt{f_{c}'} = 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{6000} = 4696 \times 10^{3} \text{ psi}$$

$$\frac{ACI 318-14 (19.2.2.1.a)}{\ell_{c}} = 9 \text{ ft} = 108 \text{ in.}$$

c. Torsional stiffness of torsional members, K_{t} .

$$K_{t} = \frac{9E_{cs}C}{[\ell_{2}(1 - \frac{c_{2}}{\ell_{2}})^{3}]}$$

$$K_{t} = \frac{9 \times 3834 \times 10^{3} \times 1325}{168(0.905)^{3}} = 367 \times 10^{6} \text{ in.-lb}$$
Where $C = \sum(1 - 0.63\frac{x}{y})(\frac{x^{3}y}{3})$

$$C = (1 - 0.63 \times \frac{7}{16})(7^{3} \times \frac{16}{3}) = 1325 \text{ in}^{4}.$$

$$c_{2} = 16 \text{ in., and } \ell_{2} = 14 \text{ ft} = 168 \text{ in.}$$

d. Equivalent column stiffness K_{ec} .

$$K_{ec} = \frac{\sum K_c \times \sum K_t}{\sum K_c + \sum K_t}$$
$$K_{ec} = \frac{(2 \times 1125.5)(2 \times 367)}{[(2 \times 1125.5) + (2 \times 367)]} \times 10^6$$





$K_{ec} = 553.7 \times 10^6$ in.-lb

Where $\sum K_i$ is for two torsional members one on each side of the column, and $\sum K_c$ is for the upper and lower columns at the slabbeam joint of an intermediate floor.

e. Slab-beam joint distribution factors, *DF*.
 At exterior joint,

 $DF = \frac{352}{(352 + 553.7)} = 0.389$

At interior joint,

$$DF = \frac{352}{(352 + 352 + 553.7)} = 0.280$$

COF for slab-beam = 0.509



K

2.2.3. Equivalent frame analysis

Determine negative and positive moments for the slab-beams using the moment distribution method. Since the unfactored live load does not exceed three-quarters of the unfactored dead load, design moments are assumed to occur at all critical sections with full factored live on all spans. <u>ACI 318-14 (6.4.3.2)</u>

$$\frac{L}{D} = \frac{40}{(87.5 + 20)} = 0.37 < \frac{3}{4}$$

a. Factored load and Fixed-End Moments (FEM's).

Factored dead load $q_{Du} = 1.2(87.5 + 20) = 129 \text{ psf}$

Factored live load $q_{Lu} = 1.6(40) = 64 \text{ psf}$

Factored load $q_u = q_{Du} + q_{Lu} = 193 \text{ psf}$

FEM's for slab-beams = $m_{NF}q_u \ell_2 \ell_1^2$

$$= 0.0841 (0.193 \times 14) 18^2 = 73.8 \text{ ft-kips}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table A1)



b. Moment distribution. Computations are shown in Table 5. Counterclockwise rotational moments acting on the member ends are taken as positive. Positive span moments are determined from the following equation:

$$M_u \text{ (midspan)} = M_o - \frac{(M_{uL} + M_{uR})}{2}$$

Where M_o is the moment at the midspan for a simple beam.

When the end moments are not equal, the maximum moment in the span does not occur at the midspan, but its value is close to that midspan for this example.

Positive moment in span 1-2:

$$+M_u = (0.193 \times 14) \frac{18^2}{8} - \frac{(46.6 + 84.0)}{2} = 44.1 \text{ ft-kips}$$

Positive moment span 2-3:

$$+M_u = (0.193 \times 14) \frac{18^2}{8} - \frac{(76.2 + 76.2)}{2} = 33.2 \text{ ft-kips}$$

Table 5 – Moment Distribution for Equivalent Frame											
<i></i>	2	'uuu	<u> </u>								
(+ 1		2		2		1					
1		2		5		*					
Joint	1		2		3	4					
Member	1-2	2-1	2-3	3-2	3-4	4-3					
DF	0.389	0.280	0.280	0.280	0.280	0.389					
COF	0.509	0.509	0.509	0.509	0.509	0.509					
FEM	+73.8	-73.8	+73.8	-73.8	+73.8	-73.8					
Dist	-28.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.7					
CO	0.0	-14.6	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0					
Dist	0.0	4.1	4.1	-4.1	-4.1	0.0					
CO	2.1	0.0	-2.1	2.1	0.0	-2.1					
Dist	-0.8	0.6	0.6	-0.6	-0.6	0.8					
CO	0.3	-0.4	-0.3	0.3	0.4	-0.3					
Dist	-0.1	0.2	0.2	-0.2	02	0.1					
CO	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1					
Dist	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Neg. M	46.6	-84.0	76.2	-76.2	84.0	-46.6					
M at midspan	44	.1	33	3.2	44.1						

2.2.4. Design moments

Positive and negative factored moments for the slab system in the direction of analysis are plotted in Figure 9. The negative moments used for design are taken at the faces of supports (rectangle section or equivalent rectangle for circular or polygon sections) but not at distances greater than $0.175\ell_1$ from the centers of supports.

$$\frac{16 \text{ in.}}{12 \times 2} = 0.67 \text{ ft} < 0.175 \times 18 = 3.2 \text{ ft} \text{ (use face of support location)}$$









2.2.5. Distribution of design moments

a. Check whether the moments calculated above can take advantage of the reduction permitted by <u>ACI 318-</u> <u>14 (8.11.6.5)</u>:

If the slab system analyzed using EFM within the limitations of <u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2)</u>, it is permitted by the ACI code to reduce the calculated moments obtained from EFM in such proportion that the absolute sum of the positive and average negative design moments need not exceed the value obtained from the following equation:

$$M_o = \frac{q_u \ell_2 \ell_n^2}{8} = 0.193 \times 14 \times \frac{(16.67)^2}{8} = 93.9 \text{ ft-kips}$$



End spans:
$$44.1 + \frac{(32.3 + 67.0)}{2} = 93.8$$
 ft-kips

Interior span: $33.2 + \frac{(60.8 + 60.8)}{2} = 94$ ft-kips

The total design moments from the Equivalent Frame Method yield a static moment equal to that given by the Direct Design Method and no appreciable reduction can be realized.

b. Distribute factored moments to column and middle strips:

After the negative and positive moments have been determined for the slab-beam strip, the ACI code permits the distribution of the moments at critical sections to the column strips, beams (if any), and middle strips in accordance with the DDM.

ACI 318-14 (8.11.6.6)

Table 6 - Distribution of factored moments										
		Slab-beam Strip	Colun	nn Strip	Middle Strip					
		Moment (ft-kips)	Percent	Moment (ft-kips)	Percent	Moment (ft-kips)				
	Exterior Negative	32.3	100	32.3	0	0				
End Span	Positive	44.1	60	26.5	40	17.7				
	Interior Negative	67	75	50.3	25	16.7				
Interior Spon	Negative	60.8	75	45.6	25	15.2				
interior span	Positive	33.2	60	19.9	40	13.2				

Distribution of factored moments at critical sections is summarized in Table 6.

2.2.6. Flexural reinforcement requirements

a. Determine flexural reinforcement required for strip moments

The flexural reinforcement calculation for the column strip of end span – exterior negative location is provided below.

 $M_{\mu} = 32.3$ ft-kips

Use average $d_{avg} = 5.75$ in.

To determine the area of steel, assumptions have to be made whether the section is tension or compression controlled, and regarding the distance between the resultant compression and tension forces along the slab section (*jd*). In this example, tension-controlled section will be assumed so the reduction factor φ is equal to 0.9, and *jd* will be taken equal to 0.95*d*. The assumptions will be verified once the area of steel in finalized.

Assume $jd = 0.95 \times d = 5.46$ in.



ACI 318-14 (24.4.3.2)

ACI 318-14 (8.7.2.2)

Column strip width, $b = (14 \times 12)/2 = 84$ in.

Middle strip width, $b = 14 \times 12 - 84 = 84$ in.

$$A_s = \frac{M_u}{\varphi f_y jd} = \frac{32.3 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times 0.95 \times 5.75} = 1.31 \text{ in.}^2$$

Recalculate 'a' for the actual $A_s = 1.31$ in.²: $a = \frac{A_s f_y}{0.85 f'_c b} = \frac{1.31 \times 60000}{0.85 \times 4000 \times 84} = 0.276$ in.

$$c = \frac{a}{\beta_1} = \frac{0.276}{0.85} = 0.325 \text{ in.}$$

$$\varepsilon_t = (\frac{0.003}{c})d_t - 0.003 = (\frac{0.003}{0.325}) \times 5.75 - 0.003 = 0.0501 > 0.005$$

Therefore, the assumption that section is tension-controlled is valid.

$$A_{s} = \frac{M_{u}}{\varphi f_{v}(d-a/2)} = \frac{32.3 \times 12000}{0.9 \times 60000 \times (5.75 - 0.276/2)} = 1.28 \text{ in.}^{2}$$

Min $A_s = 0.018 \times 84 \times 7 = 1.06$ in² < 1.28 in.²

Maximum spacing $s_{\text{max}} = 2h = 2 \times 7 = 14$ in. <18 in.

Provide 7 - #4 bars with $A_s = 1.40$ in.² and s = 84/7 = 12 in. $\leq s_{max}$

Based on the procedure outlined above, values for all span locations are given in Table 7.

Table 7 - Required Slab Reinforcement for Flexure [Equivalent Frame Method (EFM)]												
Sp	an Location	Mu (ft-kips)	b * (in.)	d ** (in.)	As Req'd for flexure (in ²)	Min As [†] (in ²)	Reinforcement Provided [‡]	A _s Prov. for flexure (in ²)				
	End Span											
	Exterior Negative	32.3	84	5.75	1.28	1.06	7-#4	1.4				
Column Strip	Positive	26.5	84	5.75	1.04	1.06	6-#4	1.2				
Sulp	Interior Negative	50.3	84	5.75	2.02	1.06	11-#4	2.2				
	Exterior Negative	0	84	5.75	0	1.06	6-#4	1.2				
Middle Strip	Positive	17.7	84	5.75	0.69	1.06	6-#4	1.2				
Sulp	Interior Negative	16.7	84	5.75	0.65	1.06	6-#4	1.2				
	Interior Span											
Column Strip	Positive	19.9	84	5.75	0.78	1.06	6-#4	1.2				
Middle Strip	Positive	13.2	84	5.75	0.51	1.06	6-#4	1.2				

b. Calculate additional slab reinforcement at columns for moment transfer between slab and column by flexure



The factored slab moment resisted by the column ($\gamma_f \times M_u$) shall be assumed to be transferred by flexure. Concentration of reinforcement over the column by closer spacing or additional reinforcement shall be used to resist this moment. The fraction of slab moment not calculated to be resisted by flexure shall be assumed to be resisted by eccentricity of shear. ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3)

Portion of the unbalanced moment transferred by flexure is $\gamma_f \times M_u$ ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.1)

Where

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3) \times \sqrt{b_1 / b_2}}$$
ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.2)

 b_1 = Dimension of the critical section b_0 measured in the direction of the span for which moments are determined in ACI 318, Chapter 8 (see Figure 5).

 b_2 = Dimension of the critical section b_a measured in the direction perpendicular to b_1 in ACI 318, Chapter 8 (see Figure 5).

```
b_{h} = Effective slab width = c_{2} + 3 \times h
```

ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.3)

Table 8 - Additional Slab Reinforcement required for moment transfer between slab and column (EFM)											
Span Location		Mu [*] (ft-kips)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		A _s req'd within b _b (in ²)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s} \ \textbf{prov. For} \\ \textbf{flexure within } \mathbf{b}_{b} \\ (\mathbf{in}^{2}) \end{array}$	Add'l Reinf.				
	End Span										
Colour Stain	Exterior Negative	46.6	0.60	28.9	37	5.75	1.17	0.62	3-#4		
Column Strip	Interior Negative	7.8	0.60	4.7	37	5.75	0.18	0.97	-		
*M _n is taken at 1	*M. is taken at the centerline of the support in Equivalent Frame Method solution										

2.2.7. Column design moments

The unbalanced moment from the slab-beams at the supports of the equivalent frame are distributed to the support columns above and below the slab-beam in proportion to the relative stiffness of the support columns. Referring to Figure 9, the unbalanced moment at joints 1 and 2 are:

Joint 1 = +46.6 ft-kips

Joint 2 = -84.0 + 76.2 = -7.8 ft-kips

The stiffness and carry-over factors of the actual columns and the distribution of the unbalanced slab moments (M_{sc}) to the exterior and interior columns are shown in Figure 10a.







Figure 10a - Column Moments (Unbalanced Moments from Slab-Beam)

In summary:

 $M_{col,Exterior}$ = 22.08 ft-kips

 $M_{col,Interior} = 3.66$ ft-kips

The moments determined above are combined with the factored axial loads (for each story) and factored moments in the transverse direction for design of column sections. Figure 10b shows the moment diagrams in the longitudinal and transverse direction for the interior and exterior equivalent frames. Following the previous procedure, the moment values at the face of interior, exterior, and corner columns from the unbalanced moment values can be obtained. These values are shown in the following table.

Structure Point





Figure 10b - Moment Diagrams (kips-ft)

Mu	Column number (See Figure 10b)						
kips-ft	1	2	3	4			
Mux	3.66	22.08	2.04	12.39			
Muy	2.23	1.28	12.49	6.79			

3. Design of Interior, Edge, and Corner Columns

This section includes the design of interior, edge, and corner columns using spColumn software. The preliminary dimensions for these columns were calculated previously in section one. The reduction of live load per <u>ASCE</u> <u>7-10</u> will be ignored in this example. However, the detailed procedure to calculate the reduced live loads is explained in the "wide-Module Joist System" example.

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS



3.1. Determination of factored loads

Interior Column (Column #1):

Assume 4 story building Tributary area for interior column is $A_{Tributary} = (18 \times 14) = 252 \text{ ft}^2$ $P_u = 4 \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times 0.193 \times 252 = 194.5 kips$ $M_{u,x} = 3.66 \, ft$ -kips (see the previous Table) $M_{u,y} = 2.23 ft$ -kips (see the previous Table)

Edge (Exterior) Column (Column #2):

Tributary area for interior column is $A_{Tributary} = (18/2 \times 14) = 126 ft^2$ $P_u = 4 \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times 0.193 \times 126 = 97.3 kips$ $M_{u,x} = 22.08 \, ft$ -kips (see the previous Table) $M_{u,y} = 1.28 ft$ -kips (see the previous Table)

Edge (Exterior) Column (Column #3):

Tributary area for interior column is $A_{Tributary} = (18 \times 14/2) = 126 ft^2$ $P_u = 4 \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times 0.193 \times 126 = 97.3 \ kips$ $M_{u,x} = 2.04 \, ft$ -kips (see the previous Table) $M_{u,v} = 12.49 \, ft$ -kips (see the previous Table)

Corner Column (Column #4):

Tributary area for interior column is $A_{Tributary} = (18/2 \times 14/2) = 63 ft^2$ $P_u = 4 \times q_u \times A_{Tributary} = 4 \times 0.193 \times 63 = 48.6 \, kips$ $M_{u,x} = 12.39 \, ft$ -kips (see the previous Table) $M_{u,y} = 6.79 ft$ -kips (see the previous Table)

The factored loads are then input into spColumn to construct the axial load – moment interaction diagram.





3.2. Column Capacity Diagram (Axial-Moment Interaction Diagram)

Interior Column (Column #1):



Structure Point CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS



Edge Column (Column #2):



Structure Point



Edge Column (Column #3):



Structure Point CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS



Corner Column (Column #4):



Structure Point

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS



4. Two-Way Slab Shear Strength

Shear strength of the slab in the vicinity of columns/supports includes an evaluation of one-way shear (beam action) and two-way shear (punching) in accordance with ACI 318 Chapter 22.

4.1. One-Way (Beam action) Shear Strength

ACI 318-14 (22.5)

One-way shear is critical at a distance d from the face of the column as shown in Figure 3. Figure 11 shows the factored shear forces (V_u) at the critical sections around each column. In members without shear reinforcement, the design shear capacity of the section equals to the design shear capacity of the concrete:

$$\varphi V_n = \varphi V_c + \varphi V_s = \varphi V_c$$
, $(\varphi V_s = 0)$ ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.1.1)

Where:

$$\varphi V_c = \varphi 2\lambda \sqrt{f_c} b_w d \qquad \underline{ACI 318-14 (Eq. 22.5.5.1)}$$

 $\lambda = 1$ for normal weight concrete

$$\varphi V_C = 0.75 \times 2 \times 1.0 \times \frac{\sqrt{4000}}{1000} \times (14 \times 12) \times 5.75 = 91.64$$
 kips

Because $\varphi V_C \ge V_U$ at all the critical sections, the slab has adequate one-way shear strength.



Figure 11 - One-way shear at critical sections (at distance d from the face of the supporting column)





ACI 318-14 (22.6)

Two-way shear is critical on a rectangular section located at d/2 away from the face of the column as shown in Figure 5.

a. Exterior column:

The factored shear force (V_u) in the critical section is computed as the reaction at the centroid of the critical section minus the self-weight and any superimposed surface dead and live load acting within the critical section (d/2 away from column face).

$$V_u = 22.2 - 0.193 \left(\frac{21.72 \times 18.88}{144}\right) = 21.65$$
 kips

The factored unbalanced moment used for shear transfer, M_{unb} , is computed as the sum of the joint moments to the left and right. Moment of the vertical reaction with respect to the centroid of the critical section is also taken into account.

$$M_{unb} = 46.6 - 21.65(\frac{18.88 - 5.99 - 16/2}{12}) = 37.8 \text{ kips-ft}$$

For the exterior column in Figure 5, the location of the centroidal axis z-z is:

$$c_{AB} = \frac{\text{moment of area of the sides about AB}}{\text{area of the sides}} = \frac{2(18.8 \times 5.75 \times 18.8/2)}{2 \times 18.8 \times 5.75 + 21.75 \times 5.75} = 5.99 \text{ in.}$$

The polar moment J_c of the shear perimeter is:

$$J_{c} = 2 \left(\frac{b_{1}d^{3}}{12} + \frac{db_{1}^{3}}{12} + (b_{1}d) \left(\frac{b_{1}}{2} - c_{AB} \right)^{2} \right) + b_{2}dc_{AB}^{2}$$

$$J_{c} = 2 \left(\frac{18.88 \times 5.75^{3}}{12} + \frac{5.75 \times 18.88^{3}}{12} + (18.88 \times 5.75) \left(\frac{18.88}{2} - 5.99 \right)^{2} \right) + 21.75 \times 5.75 \times 5.99^{2} = 14109 \text{ in.}^{4}$$

$$\gamma_{v} = 1 - \gamma_{f} = 1 - 0.617 = 0.383$$
ACI 318-14 (Eq. 8.4.4.2.2)

The length of the critical perimeter for the exterior column:

$$b_0 = 2 \times (16 + 5.75/2) + (16 + 5.75) = 59.5$$
 in.

The two-way shear stress (v_u) can then be calculated as:

$$v_{u} = \frac{V_{u}}{b_{o} \times d} + \frac{\gamma_{v} M_{unb} c_{AB}}{J_{c}}$$
ACI 318-14 (R.8.4.4.2.3)



$$v_{u} = \frac{21.65 \times 1000}{59.5 \times 5.75} + \frac{0.383 \times (37.8 \times 12 \times 1000) \times 5.99}{14109} = 137.0 \text{ psi}$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[4\lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left(2 + \frac{4}{\beta}\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}d}{b_{o}} + 2\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} \right] \qquad \underline{ACI \ 318.14 \ (Table \ 22.6.5.2)}}{V_{c} = \min \left[4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000}, \left(2 + \frac{4}{1}\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000}, \left(\frac{40 \times 5.75}{59.5} + 2\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000} \right]$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[253, \ 279.5, \ 371 \right] \text{ psi} = 253 \text{ psi}}$$

$$\varphi \ v_{c} = 0.75 \times 253 = 189.7 \text{ psi}$$

Since $\varphi v_c \ge v_u$ at the critical section, the slab has adequate two-way shear strength at this joint.

b. Interior column:

$$V_{u} = 24.3 + 26.4 - 0.193 \left(\frac{21.72 \times 21.72}{144}\right) = 50.07 \text{ kips}$$
$$M_{unb} = 84 - 76.2 - 50.07(0) = 7.8 \text{ kips-ft}$$

For the interior column in Figure 5, the location of the centroidal axis z-z is:

$$c_{AB} = \frac{b_1}{2} = \frac{21.75}{2} = 10.88$$
 in.

The polar moment J_c of the shear perimeter is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{c} &= 2 \left(\frac{b_{1}d^{3}}{12} + \frac{db_{1}^{3}}{12} + \left(b_{1}d\right) \left(\frac{b_{1}}{2} - c_{AB}\right)^{2} \right) + 2b_{2}dc_{AB}^{2} \\ \mathbf{J}_{c} &= 2 \left(\frac{21.75 \times 5.75^{3}}{12} + \frac{5.75 \times 21.75^{3}}{12} + (21.75 \times 5.75)(0)^{2} \right) + 2 \times 21.75 \times 5.75 \times 5.99^{2} = 40131 \text{ in.}^{4} \\ \gamma_{v} &= 1 - \gamma_{f} = 1 - 0.600 = 0.400 \qquad \qquad \underline{ACI 318 - 14 (Eq. 8.4.4.2.2)} \end{aligned}$$

The length of the critical perimeter for the interior column:

$$b_{0} = 2 \times (16 + 5.75) + 2 \times (16 + 5.75) = 87 \text{ in.}$$

$$v_{u} = \frac{V_{u}}{b_{0} \times d} + \frac{\gamma_{v} M_{unb} c_{AB}}{J_{c}}$$

$$v_{u} = \frac{50.07 \times 1000}{87 \times 5.75} + \frac{0.400 \times 7.8 \times 12 \times 1000 \times 10.88}{40131} = 110.2 \text{ psi}$$





$$v_{c} = \min \left[4\lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left(2 + \frac{4}{\beta}\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}d}{b_{o}} + 2\right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} \right]$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000}, \left(2 + \frac{4}{1}\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000}, \left(\frac{40 \times 5.75}{87} + 2\right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000} \right]$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[253, 279.5, 293.7 \right] \text{ psi} = 253 \text{ psi}$$

$$\varphi v_{c} = 0.75 \times 253 = 189.7 \text{ psi}$$

Since $\varphi v_c \ge v_\mu$ at the critical section, the slab has adequate two-way shear strength at this joint.

c. Corner column:

In this example, interior equivalent frame strip was selected where it only have exterior and interior supports (no corner supports are included in this strip). However, the two-way shear strength of corner supports usually governs. Thus, the two-way shear strength for the corner column in this example will be checked for educational purposes. Same procedure is used to find the reaction and factored unbalanced moment used for shear transfer at the centroid of the critical section for the corner support for the exterior equivalent frame strip.

$$V_u = 13.18 - 0.193 \left(\frac{18.88 \times 18.88}{144}\right) = 12.70$$
 kips

$$M_{unb} = 25.75 - 12.70(\frac{18.88 - 4.72 - 16/2}{12}) = 19.23 \text{ kips-ft}$$

For the corner column in Figure 5, the location of the centroidal axis z-z is:

$$c_{AB} = \frac{\text{moment of area of the sides about AB}}{\text{area of the sides}} = \frac{(18.8 \times 5.75 \times 18.8/2)}{2 \times 18.8 \times 5.75} = 4.72 \text{ in.}$$

The polar moment J_c of the shear perimeter is:

$$J_{c} = \left(\frac{b_{1}d^{3}}{12} + \frac{db_{1}^{3}}{12} + (b_{1}d)\left(\frac{b_{1}}{2} - c_{AB}\right)^{2}\right) + b_{2}dc_{AB}^{2}$$

$$J_{c} = \left(\frac{18.88 \times 5.75^{3}}{12} + \frac{5.75 \times 18.88^{3}}{12} + (18.88 \times 5.75)\left(\frac{18.88}{2} - 5.99\right)^{2}\right) + 18.88 \times 5.75 \times 4.72^{2} = 8354 \text{ in.}^{4}$$

$$\gamma_{v} = 1 - \gamma_{f} = 1 - 0.600 = 0.400$$
ACI 318-14 (Eq. 8.4.4.2.2)

Where:

$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3) \times \sqrt{b_1/b_2}}$$
ACI 318-14 (8.4.2.3.2)



$$\gamma_f = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3) \times \sqrt{18.88/18.88}} = 0.600$$

The length of the critical perimeter for the exterior column:

$$b_0 = (16 + 5.75/2) + (16 + 5.75/2) = 37.75$$
 in.

The two-way shear stress (v_u) can then be calculated as:

$$v_{u} = \frac{V_{u}}{b_{o} \times d} + \frac{\gamma_{v} M_{unb} c_{AB}}{J_{c}}$$

$$v_{u} = \frac{12.70 \times 1000}{37.75 \times 5.75} + \frac{0.400 \times (19.23 \times 12 \times 1000) \times 4.72}{8354} = 110.6 \text{ psi}$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[4\lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left(2 + \frac{4}{\beta} \right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}}, \left(\frac{\alpha_{s} d}{b_{o}} + 2 \right) \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}} \right]$$

$$ACI 318-14 (Table 22.6.5.2)$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[4 \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000}, \left(2 + \frac{4}{1} \right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000}, \left(\frac{20 \times 5.75}{37.75} + 2 \right) \times 1 \times \sqrt{4000} \right]$$

$$v_{c} = \min \left[253, 279.5, 319.2 \right] \text{ psi} = 253 \text{ psi}$$

$$\varphi v_{c} = 0.75 \times 253 = 189.7 \text{ psi}$$

Since $\varphi v_c \ge v_\mu$ at the critical section, the slab has adequate two-way shear strength at this joint.

5. Two-Way Slab Deflection Control (Serviceability Requirements)

Since the slab thickness was selected based on the minimum slab thickness tables in ACI 318-14, the deflection calculations are not required. However, the calculations of immediate and time-dependent deflections are covered in this section for illustration and comparison with spSlab model results.

5.1. Immediate (Instantaneous) Deflections

The calculation of deflections for two-way slabs is challenging even if linear elastic behavior can be assumed. Elastic analysis for three service load levels $(D, D + L_{sustained}, D + L_{Full})$ is used to obtain immediate deflections of the two-way slab in this example. However, other procedures may be used if they result in predictions of deflection in reasonable agreement with the results of comprehensive tests. ACI 318-14 (24.2.3)

The effective moment of inertia (I_e) is used to account for the cracking effect on the flexural stiffness of the slab. I_e for uncracked section ($M_{cr} > M_a$) is equal to I_g . When the section is cracked ($M_{cr} < M_a$), then the following equation should be used:

$$I_e = \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3 I_g + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3\right] I_{cr} \le I_g$$

ACI 318-14 (Eq. 24.2.3.5a)





Where:

 M_a = Maximum moment in member due to service loads at stage deflection is calculated.

The values of the maximum moments for the three service load levels are calculated from structural analysis as shown previously in this document. These moments are shown in Figure 12.





 M_{cr} = cracking moment.

$$M_{cr} = \frac{f_r I_g}{y_t} = \frac{474.34 \times 4802}{3.5} \times \frac{1}{12 \times 1000} = 54.23 \text{ ft-kip}$$
ACI 318-14 (Eq. 24.2.3.5b)

 f_r = Modulus of rapture of concrete.

$$f_r = 7.5\lambda \sqrt{f_c} = 7.5 \times 1.0 \times \sqrt{4000} = 474.34 \text{ psi}$$
 ACI 318-14 (Eq. 19.2.3.1)

 I_g = Moment of inertia of the gross uncracked concrete section

$$I_g = \frac{l_2 h^3}{12} = \frac{(14 \times 12) (7)^3}{12} = 4802 \text{ in.}^2$$
$$y_t = \frac{h}{2} = \frac{7}{2} = 3.5 \text{ in.}$$

 I_{cr} = moment of inertia of the cracked section transformed to concrete. <u>PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.2)</u> The calculations shown below are for the design strip (frame strip). The values of these parameters for column and middle strips are shown in Table 9.

As calculated previously, the exterior span frame strip near the interior support is reinforced with 17 #4 bars located at 1.25 in. along the section from the top of the slab. Figure 13 shows all the parameters needed to calculate the moment of inertia of the cracked section transformed to concrete.





 E_{cs} = Modulus of elasticity of slab concrete.

$$E_{cs} = w_c^{1.5} 33\sqrt{f_c'} = 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{4000} = 3834 \times 10^3 \text{ psi}$$

$$n = \frac{E_s}{E_{cs}} = \frac{29000000}{3834000} = 7.56$$

$$B = \frac{b}{nA_s} = \frac{14 \times 12}{7.56 \times (17 \times 0.2)} = 6.54 \text{ in.}^{-1}$$

$$E_{cr} = \frac{b(kd)^3}{3} + nA_s(d - kd)^2$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (Table 10-2)}$$

The effective moment of inertia procedure described in the Code is considered sufficiently accurate to estimate deflections. The effective moment of inertia, I_e , was developed to provide a transition between the upper and lower bounds of I_g and I_{cr} as a function of the ratio M_{cr}/M_a . For conventionally reinforced (nonprestressed) members, the effective moment of inertia, Ie, shall be calculated by Eq. (24.2.3.5a) unless obtained by a more comprehensive analysis.

Ie shall be permitted to be taken as the value obtained from Eq. (24.2.3.5a) at midspan for simple and
continuous spans, and at the support for cantilevers.ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.7)

For continuous one-way slabs and beams. I_e shall be permitted to be taken as the average of values obtained from Eq. (24.2.3.5a) for the critical positive and negative moment sections. <u>ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.6)</u>

For the exterior span (span with one end continuous) with service load level $(D+LL_{full})$:

$$I_e^- = \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3 I_g + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_a}\right)^3\right] I_{cr} \text{ , since } M_{cr} = 54.23 \text{ ft-kips} < M_a = 64.17 \text{ ft-kips}$$

ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.5a)

Where I_e^{-} is the effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section (near the support).



$$I_{e}^{-} = \left(\frac{54.23}{64.17}\right)^{3} \times 4802 + \left[1 - \left(\frac{54.23}{64.17}\right)^{3}\right] \times 629 = 3148 \text{ in.}^{4}$$

$$I_e^+ = I_g^- = 4802 \text{ in.}^4$$
, since $M_{cr}^- = 54.23 \text{ ft-kips} > M_a^- = 34.25 \text{ ft-kips}$

Where I_e^+ is the effective moment of inertia for the critical positive moment section (midspan).

Since midspan stiffness (including the effect of cracking) has a dominant effect on deflections, midspan section is heavily represented in calculation of I_e and this is considered satisfactory in approximate deflection calculations. The averaged effective moment of inertia ($I_{e,avg}$) is given by:

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.85 I_e^+ + 0.15 I_e^- \text{ for end span}$$

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.85 (4802) + 0.15 (3148) = 4554 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.4(1))}$$

Where:

 I_{e}^{-} = The effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section near the support.

 I_{a}^{+} = The effective moment of inertia for the critical positive moment section (midspan).

For the interior span (span with both ends continuous) with service load level $(D+LL_{full})$:

$$I_{e}^{-} = \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_{a}}\right)^{3} I_{g}^{-} + \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{cr}}{M_{a}}\right)^{3}\right] I_{cr}^{-}, \text{ since } M_{cr} = 54.23 \text{ ft-kips} < M_{a} = 58.27 \text{ ft-kips}$$
ACI 318-14 (24.2.3.5a)

$$I_e^- = \left(\frac{54.23}{58.27}\right)^3 \times 4802 + \left[1 - \left(\frac{54.23}{58.27}\right)^3\right] \times 629 = 3993 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$I_e^+ = I_g^- = 4802 \text{ in.}^4$$
, since $M_{cr}^- = 54.23 \text{ ft-kips} > M_a^- = 25.34 \text{ ft-kips}$

The averaged effective moment of inertia $(I_{e,avg})$ is given by:

$$I_{e,avg} = 0.70 I_e^+ + 0.15 \left(I_{e,l}^- + I_{e,r}^- \right) \text{ for interior span} \qquad \underline{PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.4(2))}}$$
$$I_{e,avg} = 0.70 \left(4802 \right) + 0.15 \left(3993 + 3993 \right) = 4559 \text{ in.}^4$$

Where:

 $I_{e,l}^-$ = The effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section near the left support. $I_{e,R}^-$ = The effective moment of inertia for the critical negative moment section near the right support.



Table 9 provides a summary of the required parameters and calculated values needed for deflections for exterior and interior equivalent frame. It also provides a summary of the same values for column strip and middle strip to facilitate calculation of panel deflection.

	Table 9 – Averaged Effective Moment of Inertia Calculations												
	For Frame Strip												
		T	T]	Ma, ft-kip)	M _{cr} , k-ft		I _e , in. ⁴		I _{e,avg} , in. ⁴		
Span	zone	Ig, in. ⁴	Icr, in. ⁴	D	D + LL _{Sus}	D + L _{full}		D	D + LL _{Sus}	D + L _{full}	D	D + LL _{Sus}	D + L _{full}
	Left		499	-26.10	-26.10	-35.78		4802	4802	4802	4802	4802	4554
Ext	Midspan		465	24.95	24.95	34.25		4802	4802	4802			
	Right	4802	629	-46.76	-46.76	-64.17	54.22	4802	4802	3148			
	Left	4802	629	-42.47	-42.47	-58.27	34.25	4802	4802	3993	4802		4559
Int	Mid		465	18.47	18.47	25.34		4802	4802	4802		4802	
	Right		629	-42.47	-42.47	-58.27		4802	4802	3993			

Deflections in two-way slab systems shall be calculated taking into account size and shape of the panel, conditions of support, and nature of restraints at the panel edges. For immediate deflections two-way slab systems the midpanel deflection is computed as the sum of deflection at midspan of the column strip or column line in one direction (Δ_{cx} or Δ_{cy}) and deflection at midspan of the middle strip in the orthogonal direction (Δ_{mx} or Δ_{my}). Figure 14 shows the deflection computation for a rectangular panel. The average Δ for panels that have different properties in the two direction is calculated as follows:







To calculate each term of the previous equation, the following procedure should be used. Figure 15 shows the procedure of calculating the term Δ_{cx} . same procedure can be used to find the other terms.



Figure 15 $-\Delta_{cx}$ calculation procedure

For exterior span - service dead load case:

$$\Delta_{frame, fixed} = \frac{wl^4}{384E_c I_{frame, averaged}}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 10)

Where:

 $\Delta_{frame, fixed}$ = Deflection of column strip assuing fixed end condition.

 $w = (20+150\times7/12)(14) = 1505$ lb/ft

$$E_c = w_c^{1.5} 33\sqrt{f_c'} = 150^{1.5} \times 33 \times \sqrt{4000} = 3834 \times 10^3 \text{ psi}$$

ACI 318-14 (19.2.2.1.a)

 $I_{frame,averaged}$ = The averaged effective moment of inertia ($I_{e,avg}$) for the frame strip for service dead load case from Table 9 = 4802 in.⁴

$$\Delta_{frame, fixed} = \frac{(1505)(18)^4 (12)^3}{384(3834 \times 10^3)(4802)} = 0.039 \text{ in.}$$

$$\Delta_{c,fixed} = LDF_c \times \Delta_{frame,fixed} \times \frac{I_{frame,averaged}}{I_{c,g}}$$
PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 11)

Where LDF_c is the load distribution factor for the column strip. The load distribution factor for the column strip can be found from the following equation:



$$LDF_{c} = \frac{LDF^{+} + \frac{LDF_{l}^{-} + LDF_{R}^{-}}{2}}{2}$$

And the load distribution factor for the middle strip can be found from the following equation:

$$LDF_m = 1 - LDF_c$$

For the end span, LDF for exterior negative region (LDF_L^-) , interior negative region (LDF_R^-) , and positive region (LDF_L^+) are 1.00, 0.75, and 0.60, respectively (From Table 6 of this document). Thus, the load distribution factor for the column strip for the end span is given by:

$$LDF_c = \frac{0.6 + \frac{1.0 + 0.75}{2}}{2} = 0.738$$

 $I_{c,g}$ = The gross moment of inertia (I_g) for the column strip for service dead load = 2401 in.⁴

$$\Delta_{c,fixed} = 0.738 \times 0.039 \times \frac{4802}{2401} = 0.057 \text{ in}$$

$$\theta_{c,L} = \frac{(M_{net,L})_{frame}}{K_{ec}}$$
PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 12)

Where:

 $\theta_{c,L}$ = Rotation of the span left support.

 $(M_{net,L})_{frame} = 26.3$ ft-kips = Net frame strip negative moment of the left support.

 K_{ec} = effective column stiffness = 553.7 x 10⁶ in.-lb (calculated previously).

$$\theta_{c,L} = \frac{26.3 \times 12 \times 1000}{553.7 \times 10^6} = 0.0006 \text{ rad}$$
$$\Delta \theta_{c,L} = \theta_{c,L} \left(\frac{l}{8}\right) \left(\frac{I_g}{I_e}\right)_{frame}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 14)

Where:

 $\Delta \theta_{c,L}$ = Midspan deflection due to rotation of left support.



 $\left(\frac{I_g}{I_e}\right)_{frame}$ = Gross-to-effective moment of inertia ratio for frame strip.

$$\Delta \theta_{c,L} = 0.0006 \times \frac{18 \times 12}{8} \times \frac{4802}{4802} = 0.0161 \text{ in.}$$

$$\theta_{c,R} = \frac{(M_{net,R})_{frame}}{K_{ec}} = \frac{(46.76 - 42.47) \times 12 \times 1000}{553.7 \times 10^6} = 0.00010 \text{ rad}$$

Where

 $\theta_{c,R}$ = rotation of the span right support.

 $(M_{net,R})_{frame}$ = Net frame strip negative moment of the right support.

$$\Delta \theta_{c,R} = \theta_{c,R} \left(\frac{l}{8}\right) \left(\frac{I_g}{I_e}\right)_{frame} = 0.00010 \times \frac{18 \times 12}{8} \times \frac{4802}{4802} = 0.0027 \text{ in.}$$

Where:

 $\Delta \theta_{c,R}$ = Midspan delfection due to rotation of right support.

$$\Delta_{cx} = \Delta_{cx, fixed} + \Delta \theta_{cx,R} + \Delta \theta_{cx,L}$$

$$\Delta_{cx} = 0.057 + 0.0027 + 0.0161 = 0.076 \text{ in.}$$

$$PCA \text{ Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 9)}$$

Following the same procedure, Δ_{mx} can be calculated for the middle strip. This procedure is repeated for the equivalent frame in the orthogonal direction to obtain Δ_{cy} , and Δ_{my} for the end and middle spans for the other load levels ($D+LL_{sus}$ and $D+LL_{full}$).

Assuming square panel, $\Delta_{cx} = \Delta_{cy} = 0.076$ in. and $\Delta_{mx} = \Delta_{my} = 0.039$ in.

The average Δ for the corner panel is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta = \frac{(\Delta_{cx} + \Delta_{my}) + (\Delta_{cy} + \Delta_{mx})}{2} = (\Delta_{cx} + \Delta_{my}) = (\Delta_{cy} + \Delta_{mx}) = 0.076 + 0.039 = 0.115 \text{ in.}$$





Table 10 – Immediate (Instantaneous) Deflections in the x-direction

Column Strip

G	LDF	D								
Span		$\Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ _{c-fixed} , in.	θ _{c1} , rad	θ _{c2} , rad	$\Delta \theta_{c1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{c2},$ in.	Δ_{cx} , in.		
Ext	0.738	0.0386	0.0570	0.00059	0.00010	0.0161	0.0027	0.076		
Int	0.675	0.0386	0.0521	-0.00010	-0.00010	-0.0027	-0.0027	0.047		

	D									
LDF	$\Delta_{ ext{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ _{m-fixed} , in.	θ _{m1} , rad	θ _{m2} , rad	$\Delta \theta_{m1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{m2},$ in.	Δ_{mx} , in.			
0.262	0.0386	0.0202	0.00059	0.00010	0.0161	0.0027	0.039			
0.325	0.0386	0.0251	-0.00010	-0.00010	-0.0027	-0.0027	0.020			

Middle Strip

	G		D+LL _{sus}								
Span	LDF	$\Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ _{c-fixed} , in.	θ _{c1} , rad	θ _{c2} , rad	$\Delta \theta_{c1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{c2},$ in.	Δ_{cx} , in.			
	Ext	0.738	0.0386	0.0570	0.00059	0.00010	0.0161	0.0027	0.076		
	Int	0.675	0.0386	0.0521	-0.00010	-0.00010	-0.0027	-0.0027	0.047		

	D+LL _{sus}							
LDF	$\begin{array}{c c} \Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}}, & \Delta_{\text{m-fixed}}, \\ \text{in.} & \text{in.} \end{array}$		θ _{m1} , rad	θ _{m2} , rad	$\Delta \theta_{m1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{m2},$ in.	Δ_{mx} , in.	
0.262	0.0386	0.0202	0.00059	0.00010	0.0161	0.0027	0.039	
0.325	0.0386	0.0251	-0.00010	-0.00010	-0.0027	-0.0027	0.020	

	G		\mathbf{D} + $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{full}}$								
Span	LDF	$\Delta_{\text{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ _{c-fixed} , in.	θ _{c1} , rad	θ _{c2} , rad	$\Delta \theta_{c1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{c2},$ in.	Δ_{cx} , in.			
	Ext	0.738	0.0559	0.0825	0.00082	0.00014	0.02333	0.00388	0.110		
	Int	0.675	0.0558	0.0753	-0.00014	-0.00014	-0.00387	-0.00387	0.068		

	D+LL _{full}								
LDF	$\Delta_{ ext{frame-fixed}},$ in.	Δ _{m-fixed} , in.	θ _{m1} , rad	θ _{m2} , rad	$\Delta \theta_{m1},$ in.	$\Delta \theta_{m2},$ in.	$\Delta_{mx},$ in.		
0.262	0.0559	0.0293	0.00082	0.00014	0.02333	0.00388	0.017		
0.325	0.0558	0.0363	-0.00014	-0.00014	-0.00387	-0.00387	0.009		

G		LL		
Span	LDF	$\Delta_{cx},$ in.		
Ext	0.738	0.034		
Int	0.675	0.021		

	LL
LDF	Δ_{mx} , in.
0.262	0.017
0.325	0.009



From the analysis in the transverse direction the deflection values below are obtained:

For DL loading case:

 Δ_{my}

 Δ_{cy}

For DL+LL_{sust} loading case:

 Δ_{my}

 Δ_{cy}

For DL+LL_{full} loading case:

 Δ_{mv}

 Δ_{cy}

These values for the x-direction are shown in Table 10. Then, the total midpanel deflection is calculated by combining the contributions of the column and middle strip deflections from the X and Y directions:

$$\Delta = \frac{\left(\Delta_{cx} + \Delta_{my}\right) + \left(\Delta_{cy} + \Delta_{mx}\right)}{2}$$

PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.3.4 Eq. 8)

5.2. Time-Dependent (Long-Term) Deflections (Δ_{lt})

The additional time-dependent (long-term) deflection resulting from creep and shrinkage (Δ_{cs}) may be estimated as follows:

$$\Delta_{cs} = \lambda_{\Delta} \times (\Delta_{sust})_{Inst}$$
PCA Notes on ACI 318-11 (9.5.2.5 Eq. 4)

The total time-dependent (long-term) deflection is calculated as:

$$(\Delta_{total})_{lt} = (\Delta_{sust})_{lnst} \times (1 + \lambda_{\Delta}) + [(\Delta_{total})_{lnst} - (\Delta_{sust})_{lnst}]$$
CSA A23.3-04 (N9.8.2.5)

Where:

 $(\Delta_{sust})_{Inst}$ = Immediate (instantaneous) deflection due to sustained load, in.

$$\lambda_{\Delta} = \frac{\xi}{1+50\rho'}$$
 ACI 318-14 (24.2.4.1.1)



 $(\Delta_{total})_{lt}$ = Time-dependent (long-term) total delfection, in.

 $(\Delta_{total})_{lnst}$ = Total immediate (instantaneous) deflection, in.

For the exterior span

 $\xi = 2$, consider the sustained load duration to be 60 months or more. ACI 318-14 (Table 24.2.4.1.3)

 $\rho' = 0$, conservatively.

 $\lambda_{\Delta} = \frac{2}{1+50\times0} = 2$

 $\Delta_{cs} = 2 \times 0.076 = 0.152$ in.

 $(\Delta_{total})_{tt} = 0.076 \times (1+2) + (0.110 - 0.076) = 0.262$ in.

Table 11 shows long-term deflections for the exterior and interior spans for the analysis in the x-direction, for column and middle strips.

	Table 11 - Long-Term Deflections										
	Column Strip										
Span	Span $(\Delta_{sust})_{Inst}$, in λ_{Δ} Δ_{cs} , in $(\Delta_{total})_{Inst}$, in $(\Delta_{total})_{lt}$, i										
Exterior	0.076	2.000	0.152	0.110	0.261						
Interior	0.047	2.000	0.095	0.068	0.162						
		Mid	dle Strip	·							
Exterior	0.039	2.000	0.078	0.056	0.134						
Interior	0.020	2.000	0.041	0.029	0.069						

6. Computer Program Solution

<u>spSlab</u> program utilizes the Equivalent Frame Method described and illustrated in details here for modeling, analysis and design of two-way concrete floor slab systems. <u>spSlab</u> uses the exact geometry and boundary conditions provided as input to perform an elastic stiffness (matrix) analysis of the equivalent frame taking into account the torsional stiffness of the slabs framing into the column. It also takes into account the complications introduced by a large number of parameters such as vertical and torsional stiffness of transverse beams, the stiffening effect of drop panels, column capitals, and effective contribution of columns above and below the floor slab using the of equivalent column concept (*ACI 318-14 (R8.11.4)*).

<u>spSlab</u> Program models the equivalent frame as a design strip. The design strip is, then, separated by <u>spSlab</u> into column and middle strips. The program calculates the internal forces (Shear Force & Bending Moment), moment





and shear capacity vs. demand diagrams for column and middle strips, instantaneous and long-term deflection results, and required flexural reinforcement for column and middle strips. The graphical and text results are provided below for both input and output of the <u>spSlab</u> model.







































spSlab v5.00 @ StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb

12-19-2016, 09:33:04 AM

Page 1

			000000 00 00 00 00 00 00 000000		0 00 00 00 00 00		0 00 00 000000 00 00 00 00 00	
		00000	00	000000	0000	00000 0	00000	(TM)
		A Computer P Reinforced Cop	rogram for Concrete H yright © 2 Al	spSlab v5 r Analysis, Beams, One- 2003-2015, 11 rights re	.00 (TM) Design, way and STRUCTUR eserved	and Invest Two-way Sla EPOINT, LLC	igation of b Systems	E
	Licensee stated be responsible for for processing by any warranty expre- by the spSlab pro- program is not ar analysis, design STRUCTUREPOINT di analysis, design program.	above a pr either y the spSL essed nor in gram. Altho nd cannot a and isclaims all or engineer	cknowledge the accur ab comput mplied wit ugh STRUC: be certii engineer: respons ing docume	es that racy or a ter program th respect TUREPOINT h. fied infal ing docum ibility in ents prepare	STRUCTUM adequacy m. Furth to the as ender lible. Th ents contract ed in co	REPOINT of the hermore, S a correctne avored to p ne final a is the t, negliger onnection	(SP) is material STRUCTUREPO iss of the produce sp ind only license ice or oth with the	not and cannot supplied as input DINT neither makes e output prepared SSlab error free the responsibility for ee's. Accordingly, ner tort for any use of the spSlab
[1] INPU	Г ЕСНО							
General :	Information							
File n Project Frame Engine Code: Reinfe Mode: Floor Live 1 Minimu Circu Deflect In net Compre Defau User-compre Defau User-compre Defau User-compre Defau User-compre Defau User-compre Defau User-compre Defau Distr	hame: C:\TSDA\TSDA tt: Two-Way Flat Pl : Interior Frame eer: SP ACI 318-14 broement Database: Design r of supports = 4 - System: Two-Way load pattern ratio um free edge distan lar critical sectio tions are based or gative moment regio cerm deflections ar live load is sust assion reinforcement l incremental rebuile defined distribution ay shear in drop pp defined distribution ay shear in drop py totial beam contribu-	-spSlab-Two-I late Floor ASTM A615 + Left canti = 0% here for puncion around ci on around ci on cracked se- bons, Ig and I re calculate- ined. ht calculation ar design se widths NOT on factors N unel NOT sel o strips NOT OT selected. Ibution in neg	Way Flat I lever + R: hing shear rcular sup difference for the more non NOT se lected. ons NOT se lected. or selected. or selected. selected. selected. selected. selected.	Plate Floor ight cantil ports used ports used include f d duration of elected. elected. einforcement of	.slb ever slab th: (if pos: lange/sla of 60 mon t design or	ickness. sible). ab contribu nths. over support	ution (if a ort NOT sel : NOT selec	available) lected. cted.
Material	Properties	Columna						
wc f'c Ec fr	= 150 = 4 = 3834.3 = 0.47434	 150 4696 0.58095	lb/ft3 ksi ksi ksi					
fy fyt Es	= 60 ks = 60 ks = 29000 ks	i, Bars are : i i	not epoxy-	-coated				
Reinforce	ement Database							
Units	: Db (in), Ab (in^2	2), Wb (lb/f	t)					

Units	s: Db (in)	, Ab (in^	2), Wb (1	.b/it)			
Size	Db	Ab	Wb	Size	Db	Ab	Wb
#3	0.38	0.11	0.38	#4	0.50	0.20	0.67
#5	0.63	0.31	1.04	#6	0.75	0.44	1.50
#7	0.88	0.60	2.04	#8	1.00	0.79	2.67
#9	1.13	1.00	3.40	#10	1.27	1.27	4.30

Structure Point

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb	12-19-2016, 09:33:04 AM Page 2
#11 1.41 1.56 5.31 #14 1.69 2.25 7.65 #18 2.26 4.00 13.60	
Span Data Slabs	
Units: L1, wL, wR, L2L, L2R (ft); t, Hmin (in) Span Loc L1 t wL wR L2L L2R Hmin	
1 Int 0.667 7.00 7.000 7.000 14.000 14.000 LC *i 2 Int 18.000 7.00 7.000 7.000 14.000 14.000 6.67 3 Int 18.000 7.00 7.000 7.000 14.000 14.000 6.66 4 Int 18.000 7.00 7.000 7.000 14.000 6.667 5 Int 0.667 7.00 7.000 7.000 14.000 14.000 RC *i NOTES: Deflection check required for panels where code-specified Hmin for two-way construction	doesn't apply due to:
*i - cantilever end span (LC, RC) support condition	
Columns Units: cla, c2a, clb, c2b (in); Ha, Hb (ft)	
Supp cla c2a Ha c1b c2b Hb Red%	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Boundary Conditions	
Units: Kz (kip/in); Kry (kip-in/rad) Supp Spring Kz Spring Kry Far End A Far End B	
1 0 0 Fixed Fixed 2 0 0 Fixed Fixed	
3 0 0 Fixed Fixed 4 0 0 Fixed Fixed	
Load Data	
Load Cases and Combinations	
Case SELF Dead Live Type DEAD DEAD LIVE	
U1 1.200 1.200 1.600	
Area Loads 	
Units: Wa (lb/ft2) Case/Patt Span Wa	
SELF 1 87.50 2 87.50 3 87.50 4 87.50	
5 87.50 Dead 2 20.00 3 20.00 4 20.00	
Live 2 40.00 4 40.00 1 40.00 5 40.00	
Reinforcement Criteria	
Slabs and Ribs	
Top barsBottom bars Min Max Min Max	
Bar Size #4 #4 #4 Bar spacing 1.00 18.00 in Reinf ratio 0.18 2.00 0.18 2.00 % Cover 1.00 1.00 in There is NOT more than 12 in of concrete below top bars.	
Beams	
Top bars Bottom bars Stirrups	

Structure Point

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb

	Min	Max	Min	Max		Min	Max	
Bar Size Bar spacing Reinf ratio Cover Layer dist.	#5 1.00 0.14 1.50 1.00	#8 18.00 5.00	#5 1.00 0.14 1.50 1.00	#8 18.00 5.00	% in in	#3 6.00	#5 18.00	in
No. of legs Side cover 1st Stirrup There is NOI	more th	an 12 in d	of concrete	below	top	2 1.50 3.00 bars.	6	in in

12-19-2016, 09:33:04 AM Page 3

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb

12-19-2016, 09:33:39 AM

Page 1

					000	000	0				0		
					00	00	00				00		
	000	000	000	000	00		00		00	000	00		
	00	0	00	00	00		00		0	00	00		
	00		00	00	00	0	00		00	0000	000	000	
	000	000	00	00		000	00		00	00	00	00	
		00	000	000		00	00		00	00	00	00	
	0	00	00		00	00	00	0	00	00	00	00	
	000	000	00		000	000	00	0	00	0 000	00	000	(TM)
					spSl	ab v5	.00 ((TM)					
A	Compi	uter 1	Progr	am for	r Anal	ysis,	Desi	.gn,	and	Invest	igat	ion o	f
	Reinfo	orced	Conc	rete l	Beams,	One-1	way a	ind 1	wo-w	ay Sla	b Sy	stems	
		Cor	oyrig	ht © 1	2003-2	015,	STRUC	TURE	POIN	r, LLC			
				A	ll rig	hts re	eserv	red					
						=====							
Licensee stated	abo	ve a	ackno	wledge	es t	hat	STRU	ICTUR	REPOI	NT ((SP)	is	not and cannot
be responsible fo	r eit	ther	the	accu	racy	or	adequ	acy	of	the	mate	rial	supplied as input
for processing by	the	spS.	lab	comput	ter p	rogra	n. F	urth	nermo	re, S	TRUC	TUREP	OINT neither makes
		-								1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		C	

for processing by the spSlab computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spSlab program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spSlab error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spSlab program. program.

[2] DESIGN RESULTS*

*Unless otherwise noted, all results are in the direction of analysis only. Another analysis in the perpendicular direction has to be carried out for two-way slab systems.

Strip Widths	and	Distribution	Factors
--------------	-----	--------------	---------

Units: Width (ft).												
_		_Width		Mo	ment Fact	or						
Span Strip	Left**	Right**	Bottom*	Left**	Right**	Bottom*						
1 Column	7.00	7.00	7.00	1.000	1.000	0.600						
Middle	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.000	0.000	0.400						
2 Column	7.00	7.00	7.00	1.000	0.750	0.600						
Middle	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.000	0.250	0.400						
3 Column	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.750	0.750	0.600						
Middle	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.250	0.250	0.400						
4 Column	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.750	1.000	0.600						
Middle	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.250	0.000	0.400						
5 Column	7.00	7.00	7.00	1.000	1.000	0.600						
Middle	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.000	0.000	0.400						
*Used for bot	tom rein	forcement	 **Used 	for top r	einforcem	ent.						

Top Reinforcement

Units	: Wi	dth	(ft),	Mmax	(k-ft),	Xmax	(ft),	As	(in'	2),	Sp	(in)	
Span	Stri	p Z	one	W	lidth	Mm	ax	Хп	lax	A	sMin		AsMa

an Strip	Zone	Width	Mmax	Xmax	AsMin	AsMax	AsReq	SpProv	Bars
1 Column	Left	7.00	0.06	0.193	1.058	8.724	0.002	14.000	6-#4 *3
	Midspan	7.00	0.19	0.358	1.058	8.724	0.007	14.000	6-#4 *3
	Right	7.00	0.43	0.550	1.058	8.724	0.016	12.000	7-#4 *3
Middle	e Left	7.00	0.00	0.000	1.058	8.724	0.000	14.000	6-#4 *3
	Midspan	7.00	0.00	0.275	1.058	8.724	0.000	14.000	6-#4 *3
	Right	7.00	0.00	0.550	1.058	8.724	0.000	14.000	6-#4 *3
2 Column	n Left	7.00	32.66	0.667	1.058	8.724	1.293	12.000	7-#4
	Midspan	7.00	0.00	9.000	0.000	8.724	0.000	0.000	
	Right	7.00	50.21	17.333	1.058	8.724	2.015	7.636	11-#4
Middle	e Left	7.00	0.20	1.662	1.058	8.724	0.008	14.000	6-#4 *3
	Midspan	7.00	0.00	9.000	0.000	8.724	0.000	0.000	
	Right	7.00	16.74	17.333	1.058	8.724	0.655	14.000	6-#4 *3
3 Column	n Left	7.00	45.47	0.667	1.058	8.724	1.818	7.636	11-#4
	Midspan	7.00	0.00	9.000	0.000	8.724	0.000	0.000	
	Right	7.00	45.47	17.333	1.058	8.724	1.818	7.636	11-#4
Middle	Left	7.00	15.16	0.667	1.058	8.724	0.592	14.000	6-#4 *3

Structure Point

12-19-2016, 09:33:39 AM

Page 2

spSlab License C:\TSDA	75.00 © d to: St \TSDA-sp	StructurePo tructurePoin pSlab-Two-Wa	int t, License y Flat Pla	ID: 661 te Floor	84-1055152 .slb	-4-2C6B6-2	2C6B6				12-
		Midspan Right	7.00 7.00	0.00	9.000 17.333	0.000 1.058	8.724 8.724	0.000 0.592	0.000 14.000	 6-#4	*3
4	Column	Left Midspan Right	7.00 7.00 7.00	50.22 0.00 32.66	0.667 9.000 17.333	1.058 0.000 1.058	8.724 8.724 8.724	2.015 0.000 1.293	7.636 0.000 12.000	11-#4 7-#4	
	Middle	Left Midspan Right	7.00 7.00 7.00	16.74 0.00 0.20	0.667 9.000 16.338	1.058 0.000 1.058	8.724 8.724 8.724	0.655 0.000 0.008	14.000 0.000 14.000	6-#4 6-#4	*3 *3
5	Column	Left Midspan Right	7.00 7.00 7.00	0.43 0.19 0.06	0.117 0.309 0.474	1.058 1.058 1.058	8.724 8.724 8.724	0.016 0.007 0.002	12.000 14.000 14.000	7-#4 6-#4 6-#4	*3 *3 *3
	Middle	Left Midspan Right	7.00 7.00 7.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.117 0.392 0.667	1.058 1.058 1.058	8.724 8.724 8.724	0.000 0.000 0.000	14.000 14.000 14.000	6-#4 6-#4 6-#4	*3 *3 *3

NOTES: *3 - Design governed by minimum reinforcement.

Top Bar Details

Units: Length (ft)

	-		Left			Conti	nuous		Right				
Span	Strip	Bars	Length	Bars	Length	Bars	Length	Bars	Length	Bars	Length		
1	Column Middle					6-#4 6-#4	0.67	1-#4	0.67				
2	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	6.17 4.33	1-#4	4.00			6-#4 6-#4	6.17 5.19	5-#4	4.00		
3	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	6.17 5.19	5-#4 	4.00			6-#4 6-#4	6.17 5.19	5-#4	4.00		
4	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	6.17 5.19	5-#4 	4.00			6-#4 6-#4	6.17 4.33	1-#4	4.00		
5	Column Middle	1-#4	0.67			6-#4 6-#4	0.67 0.67						

Top Bar Development Lengths

Units: Length (in)

	_		Left			Conti	nuous		Right			
Span	Strip	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	Bars	DevLen	
1	Column Middle					6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	1-#4	12.00			
2	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	1-#4	12.00			6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	5-#4 	12.00	
3	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	5-#4 	12.00			6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	5-#4	12.00	
4	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	5-#4 	12.00			6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00	1-#4	12.00	
5	Column Middle	1-#4	12.00			6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00					

Bottom Reinforcement

Unit: Span	s: Width Strip	(ft), Mmax Width	(k-ft), Mmax	Xmax (ft), Xmax	As (in^2 AsMin), Sp (in) AsMax	AsReq	SpProv	Bars
1	Column Middle	7.00 7.00	0.00	0.275	0.000	8.724 8.724	0.000	0.000	
2	Column	7.00	26.87	8.129	1.058	8.724	1.059	14.000	6-#4
	Middle	7.00	17.91	8.129	1.058	8.724	0.701	14.000	6-#4 *3
3	Column	7.00	19.90	9.124	1.058	8.724	0.780	14.000	6-#4 *3
	Middle	7.00	13.27	9.124	1.058	8.724	0.518	14.000	6-#4 *3
4	Column	7.00	26.87	9.871	1.058	8.724	1.059	14.000	6-#4
	Middle	7.00	17.91	9.871	1.058	8.724	0.701	14.000	6-#4 *3
5	Column Middle	7.00 7.00	0.00	0.392 0.392	0.000	8.724 8.724	0.000	0.000	

NOTES: *3 - Design governed by minimum reinforcement.

Bottom Bar Details

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS

12-19-2016, 09:33:39 AM

Page 3

spSlab v5.00	© StructurePoint	t			
Licensed to:	StructurePoint,	License I	D: 66184-	1055152-4-	2C6B6-2C6B6
C:\TSDA\TSDA-	-spSlab-Two-Way 1	Flat Plate	Floor.sl	Ь	

Unit	s: Start	(ft), Len Lo	ngth (ft ong Bars)	Sho	rt Bars	
Span	Strip	Bars	Start	Length	Bars	Start	Length
1	Column						
	Middle						
2	Column	6-#4	0.00	18.00			
	Middle	6-#4	0.00	18.00			
3	Column	6-#4	0.00	18.00			
	Middle	6-#4	0.00	18.00			
4	Column	6-#4	0.00	18.00			
	Middle	6-#4	0.00	18.00			
5	Column						
	Middle						

Bottom Bar Development Lengths

Units: DevLen (in)

Span	Strip	Long Bars	Bars DevLen	Short Bars	Bars DevLen
1	Column Middle				
2	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00		
3	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00		
4	Column Middle	6-#4 6-#4	12.00 12.00		
5	Column Middle				

Flexural Capacity

Units: x (ft), As (in^2), PhiMn, Mu (k-ft)

				To	p					Bottor	n		
Span Strip	х	AsTop	PhiMn-	Mu-	Comb	Pat	Status	AsBot	PhiMn+	Mu+	Comb	Pat	Status
1 Column	0.000	1.40	-35.30	0.00	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.193	1.40	-35.30	-0.06	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.333	1.40	-35.30	-0.17	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.358	1.40	-35.30	-0.19	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.550	1.40	-35.30	-0.43	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.667	1.40	-35.30	-0.60	U1	A11		0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	
Middle	0.000	1.20	-30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.193	1.20	-30.37	-0.00	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.333	1.20	-30.37	-0.00	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.358	1.20	-30.37	-0.00	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.550	1.20	-30.37	-0.00	U1	A11	OK	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	0.667	1.20	-30.37	-0.00	U1	A11		0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	
2 Column	0.000	1.40	-35.30	-47.36	U1	A11		1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	
	0.444	1.40	-35.30	-37.39	U1	A11		1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	
	0.667	1.40	-35.30	-32.66	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	3.000	1.40	-35.30	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	4.62	U1	A11	OK
	4.000	1.20	-30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	12.31	U1	A11	OK
	5.167	1.20	-30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	19.23	U1	A11	OK
	6.167	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	23.40	U1	A11	OK
	6.500	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	24.42	U1	A11	OK
	8.129	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	26.87	U1	A11	OK
	9.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	26.40	U1	A11	OK
	11.500	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	18.24	U1	A11	OK
	11.833	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	16.40	U1	A11	OK
	12.833	1.20	-30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	9.76	U1	A11	OK
	14.000	1.20	-30.37	-0.05	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	15.000	2.20	-54.64	-13.33	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	17.333	2.20	-54.64	-50.21	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	17.778	2.20	-54.64	-58.08	U1	A11		1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	
	18.000	2.20	-54.64	-62.11	U1	A11		1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	
Middle	0.000	1.20	-30.37	0.47	U1	A11		1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	
	0.667	1.20	-30.37	-0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	1.662	1.20	-30.37	-0.20	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK
	3.333	1.20	-30.37	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	4.91	U1	A11	OK
	4.333	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	9.67	U1	A11	OK
	6.500	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	16.28	U1	A11	OK
	8.129	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	17.91	U1	A11	OK
	9.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	17.60	U1	A11	OK
	11.500	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	12.16	U1	A11	OK
	12.809	0.00	0.00	0.00	U1	A11	OK	1.20	30.37	6.63	U1	A11	OK

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS

12-19-2016, 09:33:39 AM

Page 4

spSlab v5.00 © Licensed to: St C:\TSDA\TSDA-sp	Structur ructureP Slab-Two	ePoint oint,	License ID: lat Plate F	66184-10	55152-4-2	С6В6-20	C6B6			12-19-20)16 , O
or (rossi (rossi op	13.809 17.333 18.000	1.20 1.20 1.20	-30.37 -30.37 -30.37	0.00 -16.74 -21.82	U1 All U1 All U1 All	OK OK	1.20 1.20 1.20	30.37 30.37 30.37	1.15 0.00 0.00	U1 All U1 All U1 All	OK OK
3 Column	0.000 0.667 3.000 5.167 6.500 9.000 9.124 11.500 11.833 12.833 14.000 15.000 17.333 18.000	2.20 2.20 1.20 1.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} -54.64\\ -54.64\\ -30.37\\ -30.37\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ -30.37\\ -30.37\\ -54.64\\ -54.64\\ -54.64\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -57.18\\ -45.47\\ -11.60\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ -0.45\\ -11.60\\ -45.47\\ -57.18\end{array}$	U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37	0.00 0.00 8.00 13.40 14.84 19.90 19.90 14.84 13.40 8.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK
Middle	0.000 0.667 4.191 5.191 6.500 9.000 9.124 11.500 12.809 13.809 17.333 18.000	1.20 1.20 1.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	-30.37 -30.37 -30.37 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -30.37 -30.37	-19.06 -15.16 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	01 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37	0.00 0.00 0.77 5.43 9.89 13.27 13.27 9.89 5.43 0.77 0.00 0.00	UI All UI All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK
4 Column Middle	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.222\\ 0.667\\ 3.000\\ 4.000\\ 5.167\\ 6.167\\ 6.500\\ 9.000\\ 9.871\\ 11.500\\ 11.833\\ 14.000\\ 17.333\\ 17.556\\ 18.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.667\\ 4.191 \end{array}$	2.20 2.20 2.20 1.20 1.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} -54.64\\ -54.64\\ -54.64\\ -54.64\\ -30.37\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ -30.37\\ -30.37\\ -35.30\\ -35$	$\begin{array}{c} -62.11\\ -58.08\\ -50.22\\ -13.34\\ -0.06\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ -32.66\\ -37.39\\ -47.36\\ -21.82\\ -16.74\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	U1 All U1 All	 OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	1.20 1.20	30.37 30.37	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 9.76\\ 16.40\\ 18.24\\ 26.87\\ 24.42\\ 23.40\\ 19.23\\ 12.31\\ 4.62\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.114 \end{array}$	U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK
	5.191 6.500 9.000 9.871 11.500 13.667 14.667 16.338 17.333 18.000	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.20 1.20 1.20	- 30.37 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -30.37 -30.37 -30.37 -30.37	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.20 -0.00 0.47	UI AII UI AII	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37 30.37	6.62 12.16 17.60 17.91 16.28 9.67 4.91 0.00 0.00 0.00	UI All UI All	OK OK OK OK OK OK OK
5 Column	0.000 0.117 0.309 0.333 0.474 0.667	1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40	-35.30 -35.30 -35.30 -35.30 -35.30 -35.30	-0.60 -0.43 -0.19 -0.17 -0.06 0.00	U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK
Middle	0.000 0.117 0.309 0.333 0.474 0.667	1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	-30.37 -30.37 -30.37 -30.37 -30.37 -30.37 -30.37	$\begin{array}{c} -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ -0.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All U1 All	OK OK OK OK OK

Slab Shear Capacity

Units:	b, d (in)	, Xu (ft), PhiVc,	Vu(kip)	17.1	V.,
span	D	a	VIACIO	PHIVE	vu	Xu
1	168.00	5.75	1.000	91.64	0.00	0.00
2	168.00	5.75	1.000	91.64	23.28	16.85
3	168.00	5.75	1.000	91.64	21.22	1.15
4	168.00	5.75	1.000	91.64	23.28	1.15

Structure Point

Page 5

12-19-2016, 09:33:39 AM

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb

5 168.00 5.75 1.000 91.64 0.00 0.00	5	168.00	5.75	1.000	91.64	0.00	0.00
-------------------------------------	---	--------	------	-------	-------	------	------

Flexural Transfer of Negative Unbalanced Moment at Supports

Units: Width (in), Munb (k-ft), As (in^2)

supp	WIGCH	WIGCH-C	a	Mullo	COILD	PdL	Gaillillar	Askeq	ASPIOV	Add Bars	
1	37.00	37.00	5.75	46.30	U1	A11	0.617	1.159	0.617	3-#4	
2	37.00	37.00	5.75	7.69	U1	A11	0.600	0.180	0.969		
3	37.00	37.00	5.75	7.69	U1	A11	0.600	0.180	0.969		
4	37.00	37.00	5.75	46.30	U1	A11	0.617	1.159	0.617	3-#4	

Punching Shear Around Columns

Critical Section Properties

Units Supp	s: bl, Type	b2, b0, b1	davg, CG, b2	c(left), b0	c(right) davg	(in), Ac CG	(in^2), c(left)	Jc (in^4) c(right)	Ac	Jc
1	Rect	18.88	21.75	59.50	5.75	4.89	12.89	5.99	342.13	14110
2	Rect	21.75	21.75	87.00	5.75	0.00	10.88	10.88	500.25	40131
3	Rect	21.75	21.75	87.00	5.75	0.00	10.88	10.88	500.25	40131
4	Rect	18.88	21.75	59.50	5.75	-4.89	5.99	12.89	342.13	14110

Punching Shear Results

Units: Vu (kip), Munb (k-ft), vu (psi), Phi*vc (psi)
 Vu
 vu
 Vushb
 vu
 Vushb
 vu
 Vushb
 vu
 Vushb
 Vush
 Vush
 Vush
 vu Phi*vc Supp 36.72 U1 All 0.383 -7.69 U1 All 0.400 7.69 U1 All 0.400 -36.72 U1 All 0.383 1 23.51 140.4 189.7 50.06 50.06 23.51 2 110.1 110.1 189.7 189.7 3 4 140.4 189.7

Material Takeoff

Reinforcement in the Direction of Analysis

Top Bars:	336.6	lb	<=>	6.08	lb/ft	<=>	0.435	lb/ft^2
Bottom Bars:	432.9	lb	<=>	7.82	lb/ft	<=>	0.559	lb/ft^2
Stirrups:	0.0	lb	<=>	0.00	lb/ft	<=>	0.000	lb/ft^2
Total Steel:	769.5	lb	<=>	13.91	lb/ft	<=>	0.993	lb/ft^2
Concrete:	451.9	ft^3	<=>	8.17	ft^3/ft	<=>	0.583	ft^3/ft^2

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb

12-19-2016, 09:34:21 AM

Page 1

				000	0000	0				0					
				00	00	00				00					
	00000	0000	000	00		00		000	000	00					
c	0 0	00	00	00		00		0	00	00					
0	0	00	00	00	00	00		000	0000	000	000				
	00000	00	00		000	00		00	00	00	00				
	00	0000	000		00	00		00	00	00	00				
0	00	00		00	00	00	0	00	00	00	00				
	00000	00		000	0000	00	0	000	0 000	00	000	(TM)			
A Co Rei	mputer H nforced Cop	Progra Conci Oyrigh	am foi rete H nt © 2 Al	spsi r Anal Beams, 2003-2 11 rig	one-1 2015, 1 2015, 1 3hts re	Desi way a STRUC	ind T TURE red	and 1 wo-wa POIN1	Invest ay Sla F, LLC	igat Nb Sy C	ion o stems	f			
Licensee stated a be responsible for for processing by t any warranty expresse by the spSlab program	bove a either he spSl d nor i	the the lab implie	vledge accus comput ed wit	es t racy ter p th re	hat or program spect	STRU adequ n. F	CTUR acy urth the	EPOIN of ermon corn	The ((SP) mate STRUC ss	is rial TUREP of th	not suppli OINT n e outp	and ed eithe ut	can as in r ma prepa	nnot nput akes ared

be responsible for either the accuracy or adequacy of the material supplied as input for processing by the spSlab computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spSlab program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spSlab error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spSlab program.

[3] DEFLECTION RESULTS

Section Properties

Frame Section Properties

Units: Ig. Icr (in^4). Mcr (k-ft)

Unites	5. ig, ici	(111 4), MCI	M+ve			M-ve	
Span	Zone	Ig	Icr	Mcr	Ig	Icr	Mcr
1	Left	4802	0	54.23	4802	466	-54.23
	Midspan	4802	0	54.23	4802	499	-54.23
	Right	4802	0	54.23	4802	499	-54.23
2	Left	4802	466	54.23	4802	499	-54.23
	Midspan	4802	466	54.23	4802	0	-54.23
	Right	4802	466	54.23	4802	629	-54.23
3	Left	4802	466	54.23	4802	629	-54.23
	Midspan	4802	466	54.23	4802	0	-54.23
	Right	4802	466	54.23	4802	629	-54.23
4	Left	4802	466	54.23	4802	629	-54.23
	Midspan	4802	466	54.23	4802	0	-54.23
	Right	4802	466	54.23	4802	499	-54.23
5	Left	4802	0	54.23	4802	499	-54.23
	Midspan	4802	Ō	54.23	4802	499	-54.23
	Right	4802	0	54.23	4802	466	-54.23

NOTES: M+ve values are for positive moments (tension at bottom face). M-ve values are for negative moments (tension at top face).

Frame Effective Section Properties

Units: Ie, Ie, avg (in^4), Mmax (k-ft)

		_	Load Level						
			Dea	ad	Sustai	ned	Dead+1	live	
Span	Zone	Weight	Mmax	Ie	Mmax	Ie	Mmax	Ie	
1	Right	1.000	-0.33	4802	-0.33	4802	-0.46	4802	
	Span Avg			4802		4802		4802	
2	Middle	0.850	24.94	4802	24.94	4802	34.23	4802	
	Right	0.150	-46.75	4802	-46.75	4802	-64.15	3151	
	Span Avg			4802		4802		4554	
3	Left	0.150	-42.47	4802	-42.47	4802	-58.27	3994	
	Middle	0.700	18.47	4802	18.47	4802	25.35	4802	
	Right	0.150	-42.47	4802	-42.47	4802	-58.27	3994	
	Span Avg			4802		4802		4560	
4	Left	0.150	-46.75	4802	-46.75	4802	-64.15	3151	
	Middle	0.850	24.94	4802	24.94	4802	34.23	4802	
	Span Avg			4802		4802		4554	
5	Left	1.000	-0.33	4802	-0.33	4802	-0.46	4802	
	Span Avg			4802		4802		4802	

Strip Section Properties at Midspan

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS

Units: Ig (in^4)

OUTES	JIILES: IG (III 4)										
		Column	Strip_		Middle	Strip					
Span		Ig	LDF	Ratio	Ig	LDF	Ratio				
1		2401	0.800	1.600	2401	0.200	0.400				
2		2401	0.738	1.475	2401	0.262	0.525				
3		2401	0.675	1.350	2401	0.325	0.650				
4		2401	0.738	1.475	2401	0.262	0.525				
5		2401	0.800	1.600	2401	0.200	0.400				

NOTES: Load distirubtion factor, LDL, averages moment distribution factors listed in [2] Design Results. Ratio refers to proportion of strip to frame deflections under fix-end condtions.

Instantaneous Deflections

Extreme Instantaneous Frame Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units: Def (in), Loc (ft)

Units	JNIES: Der (IN), LOC (IE)											
			-		Live		Tota	al				
Span	Direction	Value	Dead	Sustained Ur	nsustained	Total	Sustained	Dead+Live				
1	Down	Def										
		Loc										
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.006				
		Loc	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
2	Down	Def	0.055		0.023	0.023	0.055	0.078				
		Loc	8.378		8.378	8.378	8.378	8.378				
	Up	Def										
		Loc										
3	Down	Def	0.032		0.014	0.014	0.032	0.046				
		Loc	8.876		8.876	8.876	8.876	8.876				
	Up	Def	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000				
	-	Loc	0.444		0.444	0.444	0.444	0.444				
4	Down	Def	0.055		0.023	0.023	0.055	0.078				
		Loc	9.622		9.622	9.622	9.622	9.622				
	Up	Def										
		Loc										
5	Down	Def										
		Loc										
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.006				
	-	Loc	0.667		0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667				

Extreme Instantaneous Column Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units	Units: Def (in), Loc (ft)										
			· · ·		Live		Tota	al			
Span	Direction	Value	Dead	Sustained U	nsustained	Total	Sustained	Dead+Live			
1	Down	Def									
		Loc									
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.006			
	-	Loc	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
2	Down	Def	0.072		0.031	0.031	0.072	0.103			
		Loc	8.627		8.627	8.627	8.627	8.627			
	Up	Def									
	-	Loc									
3	Down	Def	0.045		0.019	0.019	0.045	0.064			
		Loc	8.876		8.876	8.876	8.876	8.876			
	Up	Def	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000			
	-	Loc	0.222		0.222	0.222	0.222	0.222			
4	Down	Def	0.072		0.031	0.031	0.072	0.103			
		Loc	9.373		9.373	9.373	9.373	9.373			
	Up	Def									
	-	Loc									
5	Down	Def									
		Loc									
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.006			
	-1-	Loc	0.667		0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667			

Extreme Instantaneous Middle Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units:	Def	(in),	Loc	(ft)

					Live		Tot	al
Span	Direction	Value	Dead	Sustained	Unsustained	Total	Sustained	Dead+Live
1	Down	Def						
		Loc						
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.006
	-	Loc	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.038		0.016	0.016	0.038	0.054
		Loc	7.881		8.129	8.129	7.881	8.129
	Up	Def						
	-	Loc						
3	Down	Def	0.019		0.008	0.008	0.019	0.027
		Loc	8.876		8.876	8.876	8.876	8.876
	Up	Def	-0.000		-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	-1-	Loc	0.667		0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667

12-19-2016, 09:34:21 AM Page 2

Structure Point

12-19-2016, 09:34:21 AM

Page 3

spSlab v5.0 Licensed to C:\TSDA\TSD	0 © Stru : Struct A-spSlab	ucturePoir turePoir b-Two-Wa	oint Mt, License ID: My Flat Plate B	: 66184-105 Floor.slb	5152-4-2C6B6	-2C6B6		
4	Down	Def	0.038		0.016	0.016	0.038	0.054
		Loc	9.871		9.871	9.871	9.871	9.871
	Up	Def						
	-	Loc						
5	Down	Def						
		Loc						
	Up	Def	-0.004		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.006
		Loc	0.667		0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667

Long-term Deflections

Long-term Column Strip Deflection Factors

Time dependant factor for sustained loads = 2.000 Units: Astop, Asbot (in^2), b, d (in), Rho' (%), Lambda (-)

				M+ve		M-Ve					
Span	Zone	Astop	b	d	Rho'	Lambda	Asbot	b	d	Rho'	Lambda
1	Right				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
2	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
3	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
4	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
5	Left				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000

NOTES: Deflection multiplier, Lambda, depends on moment sign at sustained load level and Rho' in given zone. Rho' is assumed zero because Compression Reinforcement option is NOT selected in Solve Options.

Long-term Middle Strip Deflection Factors

Time dependant factor for sustained loads = 2.000 Units: Astop, Asbot (in^2), b, d (in), Rho' (%), Lambda (-)

				M+ve					M-ve		
Span	Zone	Astop	b	d	Rho'	Lambda	Asbot	b	d	Rho'	Lambda
1	Right				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
2	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
3	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
4	Midspan				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000
5	Left				0.000	2.000				0.000	2.000

NOTES: Deflection multiplier, Lambda, depends on moment sign at sustained load level and Rho' in given zone. Rho' is assumed zero because Compression Reinforcement option is NOT selected in Solve Options.

Extreme Long-term Column Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units Span	s: D (in), Direction	x (ft) Value	CS	cs+lu	cs+l	Total
1	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	Up	Def	-0.009	-0.011	-0.011	-0.015
	-	Loc	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.145	0.176	0.176	0.248
		Loc	8.627	8.627	8.627	8.627
	Up	Def				
	-	Loc				
3	Down	Def	0.089	0.109	0.109	0.153
		Loc	8.876	8.876	8.876	8.876
	Up	Def	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	1	Loc	0.222	0.222	0.222	0.222
4	Down	Def	0.145	0.176	0.176	0.248
		Loc	9.373	9.373	9.373	9.373
	Up	Def				
	1	Loc				
5	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	σU	Def	-0.009	-0.011	-0.011	-0.015
		Loc	0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667

NOTES: Incremental deflections due to creep and shrinkage (cs) based on sustained load level values. Incremental deflections after partitions are installed can be estimated by deflections due to: - creep and shrinkage plus unsustained live load (cs+lu), if live load applied before partitions, - creep and shrinkage plus live load (cs+l), if live load applied after partitions. Total deflections consist of dead, live, and creep and shrinkage deflections.

Extreme Long-term Middle Strip Deflections and Corresponding Locations

Units	s: D (in),	x (ft)				
Span	Direction	Value	CS	cs+lu	cs+l	Total
1	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	Up	Def	-0.009	-0.011	-0.011	-0.015
		Loc	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	Down	Def	0.076	0.091	0.091	0.129
		Loc	8.129	8.129	8.129	8.129
	Up	Def				

Page 4

12-19-2016, 09:34:21 AM

spSlab v5.00 © StructurePoint Licensed to: StructurePoint, License ID: 66184-1055152-4-2C6B6-2C6B6 C:\TSDA\TSDA-spSlab-Two-Way Flat Plate Floor.slb

		Loc				
3	Down	Def	0.038	0.046	0.046	0.065
		Loc	8.876	8.876	8.876	8.876
	Up	Def	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	-	Loc	0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667
4	Down	Def	0.076	0.091	0.091	0.129
		Loc	9.871	9.871	9.871	9.871
	Up	Def				
		Loc				
5	Down	Def				
		Loc				
	Up	Def	-0.009	-0.011	-0.011	-0.015
		Loc	0.667	0.667	0.667	0.667

NOTES: Incremental deflections due to creep and shrinkage (cs) based on sustained load level values. Incremental deflections after partitions are installed can be estimated by deflections due to: - creep and shrinkage plus unsustained live load (cs+lu), if live load applied before partitions, - creep and shrinkage plus live load (cs+l), if live load applied after partitions. Total deflections consist of dead, live, and creep and shrinkage deflections.

		Hand (EFM)	spSlab
	Exterior Sp	an	<u>.</u>
	Exterior Negative*	32.20	32.66
Column Strip	Positive	26.50	26.87
	Interior Negative*	50.30	50.21
Middle Strip	Exterior Negative*	0.00	0.20
	Positive	17.70	17.91
	Interior Negative*	16.70	16.74
	Interior Spa	an	
Caluma Stain	Interior Negative*	45.60	45.47
Column Strip	Positive	19.90	19.90
	Interior Negative*	15.20	15.16
Mildule Strip	Positive	13.20	13.27

7. Summary and Comparison of Two-Way Slab Design Results

Table 13 - Comparison of Reinforcement Results with Hand and spSlab Solution									
Span Location		Reinforcem for F	ent Provided Texure	Additional Provided fo Moment	Total Reinforcement Provided				
		Hand	spSlab	Hand	Hand spSlab		spSlab		
			Exterior S	Span					
Column Strip	Exterior Negative	7-#4	7-#4	3-#4	3-#4	10-#4	10-#4		
	Positive	6-#4	6-#4	n/a	n/a	6-#4	6-#4		
	Interior Negative	11-#4	11-#4			11-#4	11-#4		
	Exterior Negative	6-#4	6-#4	n/a	n/a	6-#4	6-#4		
Middle Strip	Positive	6-#4	6-#4	n/a	n/a	6-#4	6-#4		
Sup	Interior Negative	6-#4	6-#4	n/a	n/a	6-#4	6-#4		
	Interior Span								
Column Strip	Positive	6-#4	6-#4	n/a	n/a	6-#4	6-#4		
Middle Strip	Positive	6-#4	6-#4	n/a	n/a	6-#4	6-#4		
*In the EEM the unhalanced moment (M M) at the support contailing is used to determine the sub-									

*In the EFM, the unbalanced moment (M_{sc}, M_{unb}) at the support centerline is used to determine the value of the additional reinforcement as compared with DDM using the moments at the face of support.

Table 14 - Comparison of One-Way (Beam Action) Shear Check Results Using Hand and spSlab Solution									
Snon	Vu	, kips	Xu	c, kips					
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab			
Exterior	23.3	23.3	1.15	1.15	91.64	91.64			
Interior 21.2 21.2 16.85 16.85 91.64 91.64									
x_u calculated from the centerline of the left column for each span									

Table 15 - Comparison of Two-Way (Punching) Shear Check Results Using Hand and spSlab Solution												
Sunnant	b1	, in.	b ₂	, in.	bo	, in.	A _c ,	in. ²	Vu,	kips	Vu	, psi
Support	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab
Exterior	18.88	18.88	21.75	21.75	59.5	59.5	342.00	342.13	21.65	22.79	63.3	66.6
Interior	21.75	21.75	21.75	21.75	87	87	500.00	500.25	50.07	50.07	100.1	100.1
c_{AB} , in. J_c , in. ⁴ γ_v M_e				M _{unb} ,	kips-ft	Vu	, psi	φν	_{c,} psi			
Support	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab
Exterior	5.99	5.99	14109	14110	0.383	0.383	37.8	37.2	137.0	139.2	189.7	189.7
Interior	10.88	10.88	40131	40131	0.400	0.400	-7.8	-7.7	110.2	110.1	189.7	189.7

Table 16 - Comparison of Immediate Deflection Results Using Hand and spSlab Solution (in.)									
	Column Strip								
Snon		D	D+LL _{sus}		D+LL _{full}		LL		
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	
Exterior	0.076	0.072	0.076	0.072	0.110	0.103	0.034	0.031	
Interior	0.047	0.045	0.047	0.045	0.068	0.064	0.021	0.019	
			Ν	/liddle Strip					
Snon		D	D +2	LL _{sus}	D +2	LL _{full}]	L	
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	
Exterior	0.039	0.038	0.039	0.038	0.056	0.054	0.017	0.016	
Interior	0.020	0.019	0.020	0.019	0.029	0.027	0.009	0.008	

Table 17 - Comparison of Time-Dependent Deflection Results Using Hand and spSlab Solution								
Column Strip								
Snon		λ_{Δ}	$\Delta_{\mathbf{c}}$	$\Delta_{\rm cs}$, in. $\Delta_{\rm total}$, in.				
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab		
Exterior	2.0	2.0	0.152	0.145	0.261	0.248		
Interior	2.0	2.0	0.095	0.089	0.162	0.153		
	·		Middle Strip	·	•			
Snon		λ_{Δ}	Δ_{c}	s, in.	$\Delta_{ ext{total}}$, in.			
Span	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab	Hand	spSlab		
Exterior	2.0	2.0	0.078	0.076	0.134	0.129		
Interior	2.0	2.0	0.041	0.038	0.069	0.065		

In all of the hand calculations illustrated above, the results are in close or exact agreement with the automated analysis and design results obtained from the spSlab model. Excerpts of spSlab graphical and text output are given below for illustration.

8. Comparison of Two-Way Slab Analysis and Design Methods

A slab system can be analyzed and designed by any procedure satisfying equilibrium and geometric compatibility. Three established methods are widely used. The requirements for two of them are described in detail in ACI 318-14 Chapter 8 (8.2.1).

Direct Design Method (DDM) is an approximate method and is applicable to flat plate concrete floor systems that meet the stringent requirements of <u>ACI 318-14 (8.10.2)</u>. In many projects, however, these requirements limit the usability of the Direct Design Method significantly.

The Equivalent Frame Method (EFM) does not have the limitations of Direct Design Method. It requires more accurate analysis methods that, depending on the size and geometry can prove to be long, tedious, and time-consuming.

StucturePoint's <u>spSlab</u> software program solution utilizes the Equivalent Frame Method to automate the process providing considerable time-savings in the analysis and design of two-way slab systems as compared to hand solutions using DDM or EFM.

Finite Element Method (FEM) is another method for analyzing reinforced concrete slabs, particularly useful for irregular slab systems with variable thicknesses, openings, and other features not permissible in DDM or EFM. Many reputable commercial FEM analysis software packages are available on the market today such as <u>spMats</u>. Using FEM requires critical understanding of the relationship between the actual behavior of the structure and the numerical simulation since this method is an approximate numerical method. The method is based on several assumptions and the operator has a great deal of decisions to make while setting up the model and applying loads and boundary conditions. The results obtained from FEM models should be verified to confirm their suitability for design and detailing of concrete structures.

The following table shows a general comparison between the DDM, EFM and FEM. This table covers general limitations, drawbacks, advantages, and cost-time efficiency of each method where it helps the engineer in deciding which method to use based on the project complexity, schedule, and budget.

Structure Point

CONCRETE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS

Applicable ACI	Applicable ACI		Concrete Slab Analysis Method					
318-14 Provision	Limitations/ Applicability	DDM (Hand)	EFM (Hand//spSlab)	FEM (spMats)				
8.10.2.1	Minimum of three continuous spans in each direction	R						
8.10.2.2	Successive span lengths measured center-to- center of supports in each direction shall not differ by more than one-third the longer span							
8.10.2.3	Panels shall be rectangular, with ratio of longer to shorter panel dimensions, measured center-to-center supports, not exceed 2.							
8.10.2.4	Column offset shall not exceed 10% of the span in direction of offset from either axis between centerlines of successive columns							
8.10.2.5	All loads shall be due to gravity only							
8.10.2.5	All loads shall be uniformly distributed over an entire panel (q_u)	Ø						
8.10.2.6	Unfactored live load shall not exceed two times the unfactored dead load	Ø						
8.10.2.7	For a panel with beams between supports on all sides, slab-to-beam stiffness ratio shall be satisfied for beams in the two perpendicular directions.	Ø						
8.7.4.2	Structural integrity steel detailing	V	V	M				
8.5.4	Openings in slab systems	V	Ø	Ø				
8.2.2	Concentrated loads	Not permitted	V	Ø				
8.11.1.2	Live load arrangement (Load Patterning)	Not required	Required	Engineering judgment required based on modeling technique				
R8.10.4.5*	Reinforcement for unbalanced slab moment transfer to column (M_{rel})	Moments @ support face	Moments @ support centerline	Engineering judgment required				
	Irregularities (i.e. variable thickness, non- prismatic, partial bands, mixed systems, support arrangement, etc.)	Not permitted	Engineering judgment required	Engineering judgment required				
Complexity		Low	Average	Complex to very complex				
Design time/	costs	Fast	Limited	Unpredictable/Costly				
Design Econ	omy	Conservative (see detailed comparison with spSlab output)	Somewhat conservative	Unknown - highly dependent on modeling assumptions: 1. Linear vs. non-linear 2. Isotropic vs non-isotropic 3. Plate element choice 4. Mesh size and aspect ratio 5. Design & detailing features				
General (Dra	wbacks)	Very limited applications	Limited geometry	Limited guidance non-standard application (user dependent). Required significant engineering judgment				
General (Adv	vantages)	Very limited analysis is required	Detailed analysis is required or via software (e.g. spSlab)	Unlimited applicability to handle complex situations permissible by the features of the software used (e.g. spMats)				
[°] The unbalat In DDM only moments at t	need slab moment transferred to the column M_{sc} (y moments at the face of the support are calculated he column center line are used to obtain M_{sc} (M_{unl}	M_{unb}) is the difference i d and are also used to of b).	n slab moment on either btain $M_{sc}(M_{unb})$. In EFN	side of a column at a specific joint. I where a frame analysis is used,				